

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA**

**Garcia v. Hap Trucking Ltd.**

Garcia · No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD; related case No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD · Decided March 31, 2025

---

**OPINION**

Garcia v. Hap Trucking Ltd.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 QUENTIN PAUL GARCIA,

12 Plaintiff, No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD 13 v.

14 HAP TRUCKING LTD; GURHAM ORDER

SINGH GILL and DOES 1-25, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD

MICHAELA MANAGO,

20 Plaintiff, 21 v. 22

HAP TRUCKING LTD; GURHAM

23 SINGH GILL and DOES 1-25, inclusive, 24 Defendants. 25 26 This matter is before the Court  
on Plaintiffs Quentin Paul Garcia and Michaela Manago’s 27 (collectively, “Plaintiffs”)  
unopposed Motions to Consolidate two related cases. (No. 2:24-cv- 28 1 01947-TLN-CKD, ECF  
No. 20; No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD, ECF No. 16.)<sup>1</sup> The two actions 2 arise out of a single  
motor vehicle accident. In both actions, Plaintiffs allege Defendants Hap 3 Trucking Ltd. (“Hap  
Trucking”) and Gurham Singh Gill (“Gill”) (collectively, “Defendants”) 4 were in some manner  
responsible for an accident in which, among other things, they failed to 5 operate a tractor trailer  
in a reasonable manner and failed to train and supervise Gill, which 6 caused him to operate the  
tractor trailer in a negligent manner. 7 “The district court, in exercising its broad discretion to  
order consolidation of actions 8 presenting a common issue of law or fact under [Federal] Rule [of  
Civil Procedure] 42(a), weighs 9 the saving of time and effort consolidation would produce  
against any inconvenience, delay, or 10 expense that it would cause.” *Huene v. United States*, 743  
F.2d 703,704 (9th Cir. 1984). Having 11 carefully reviewed the parties’ submissions, the Court  
determines that consolidation is 12 appropriate. Accordingly, the Court hereby GRANTS the  
motions to consolidate. (No. 2:24-cv- 13 01947-TLN-CKD, ECF No. 20; No. 2:24-cv-01496-  
TLN-CKD, ECF No. 16.) 14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 15 1. The following actions are

consolidated before this Court for all purposes, 16 including trial and all pretrial matters: 17 •  
Garcia v. Hap Trucking Ltd. et al., No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD 18 • Manago v. Hap Trucking  
Ltd. et al., No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD 19 2. Plaintiffs shall have seven (7) days from the  
electronic filing date of this Order to 20 file a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint  
and any future filings shall be 21 solely made on the docket for No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD.  
Going forward, no filings 22 should be made under No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD. The Clerk of  
Court is directed to 23 close Case No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 1 The  
instant motion has been docketed in each of the related cases. 1 3. Defendants shall have forty-five  
(45) days after service of the consolidated 2 complaint to file an answer. 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4  
| DATED: March 28, 2025 5 Lol 7  
g TROY L. NUNLEY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28