

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Trillium Partners, L.P. v. Sherratt Reicher

No. CV 24-0147 FMO (ASx), United States District Court for the Central District of California (November 7, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice after counsel advised that the remaining parties had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the parties to reopen the action for good cause by December 22, 2025, if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Fernando M. Olguin
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	November 7, 2025
DOCKET	CV 24-0147 FMO (ASx)
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The action was dismissed without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the remaining parties had reached a settlement.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

- [civil procedure](#) [commercial litigation](#) [remedies](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [civil procedure](#) [commercial litigation](#) [remedies](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should dismiss the settled action without prejudice while retaining jurisdiction to reopen it if the settlement is not consummated.

HOLDINGS

1. The court dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice because counsel advised that the action had been settled as to the remaining parties.
2. The court retained full jurisdiction over the action and authorized reopening for good cause shown by December 22, 2025 if the settlement was not consummated.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown by no later than December 22, 2025, to re-open the action if settlement is not consummated.” (at 1)

“The court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Counsel advised the court that the action had been settled as to the remaining parties: Sherratt Reicher, NutraNomics, Inc., Geoff Bazegian, and Jonathan Bishop. Based on that representation and a notice of preliminary settlement, the court entered an order dismissing the action without prejudice.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The case was pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. After notice of a preliminary settlement, the court dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice, while retaining jurisdiction to reopen the case if the settlement was not consummated.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)