

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Wayne Matthew Hasbrook v. Dr. Audree Adreana, et al.

No. 2:25-cv-1131 TLN CSK P, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (September 8,
2025)

OPINION

Adreana

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 WAYNE MATTHEW HASBROOK, No. 2:25-cv-1131 TLN CSK P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v.

ORDER

14 DR. AUDREE ADREANA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a Sacramento County
detainee.¹ On September 3, 2025, plaintiff filed a 18 change of address, noting he is currently
housed at the Mental Health Treatment Center, and 19 seeks an interview with a U.S. Marshal.
(ECF No. 21.) Plaintiff’s request is denied. 20 I. Background 21 On June 23, 2025, the Court
recommended that this action be dismissed based on 22 plaintiff’s failure to file an application to
proceed in forma pauperis and a certified copy of his 23 1 Sacramento County Superior Court
records confirm that a criminal complaint was filed against 24 plaintiff. *People v. Hasbrook*, No.
25MI003396. The court may take judicial notice of facts that are “not subject to reasonable
dispute because it . . . can be accurately and readily determined 25 from sources whose accuracy
cannot reasonably be questioned,” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b), including undisputed information posted
on official websites. *Daniels-Hall v. National Education 26 Association*, 629 F.3d 992, 999 (9th
Cir. 2010). It is appropriate to take judicial notice of the 27 docket sheet of a California court.
White v. Martel, 601 F.3d 882, 885 (9th Cir. 2010). The address of the official website of the
Sacramento County Superior Court is 28
<https://services.saccourt.ca.gov/PublicCaseAccess/Criminal/> (accessed Sept. 5, 2025). 1 inmate
trust account statement, or pay the Court’s filing fee. (ECF No. 19.) The findings and 2
recommendations were re-served on plaintiff on August 19, 2025, but were returned by the post 3
office on September 2, 2025, noting plaintiff had been released. 4 II. Discussion 5 Plaintiff is
advised that this action is not yet commenced because plaintiff has not paid the 6 Court’s filing fee
or provided a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis accompanied 7 by an inmate
trust account statement for the six months prior to the filing of this case. The Court 8 is unable to

screen plaintiff's complaint until he pays the Court's filing fee or complies with the
9 April 28, 2025 order. Further, this Court does not have jurisdiction over the issues raised in his
10 September 3, 2025 filing.² See *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 45 (1971) (when there is a
11 pending state criminal proceeding, federal courts must refrain from enjoining the state 12
prosecution absent special or extraordinary circumstances). Plaintiff's request for an interview 13
with the U.S. Marshal (ECF No. 21) is denied.³ 14 III. Conclusion 15 Accordingly, IT IS
HEREBY ORDERED that: 16 1. Plaintiff's request for an interview with the U.S. Marshal (ECF
No. 21) is denied. 17 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-serve a copy of the Court's April
28, 2025 18 order (ECF No. 11) and June 23, 2025 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 19)
19 on plaintiff at his current address; and 20 3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this
order to file objections to the 21 findings and recommendations, accompanied by a completed
application to proceed in 22 23 2 In addition, plaintiff is not required to provide his Social
Security number on court filings, and he should refrain from doing so in the future. Plaintiff also
asks the Court to apply for plaintiff's 24 SSI, Indian Affairs money, military disability, and in
home health care. (ECF No. 21-1 at 2.) Plaintiff is advised that the Court is unable to make those
applications on behalf of plaintiff. 25 3 Review of the state court docket in No. 25MI003396
confirms that plaintiff was appointed 26 counsel to represent him in the criminal proceedings, and
that the case is currently set for further 27 proceedings on September 17, 2025, at 8:30 a.m. in
Department 04. Because plaintiff is represented by counsel in the state court action, plaintiff
should direct his requests for assistance 28 to his attorney, or raise them with the state court judge
at the hearing.] forma pauperis and a certified inmate trust account statement for the six months
prior 2 to the filing of this action, or payment of the Court's filing fee. 3 4. Plaintiff is cautioned
that failure to comply with this order will result in sending the 4 findings and recommendations to
the district court for review and adoption. 5 6 || Dated: September 8, 2025 7 2 1 Cnn Spe \L

CHI SOO KIM

8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 /I/hasb1131.cot 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28