

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

**McKenzie Keller aka Marcy C. v. MGM Resorts International, LLC,
et al.**

Keller v. MGM Resorts · No. 2:24-cv-02027-JCM-MDC · Decided January 16, 2026

OPINION

C.

PER CURIAM.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 McKenzie Keller aka Marcy C., Case No. 2:24-cv-02027-JCM-MDC 4 Plaintiff,

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO

5 vs. REMOVE ATTORNEY (ECF NO. 175)

6 MGM Resorts International, LLC, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 The Court has reviewed the Motion to
Remove Attorney (ECF No. 175) (“Motion”). Defendant 9 Treasure Island, LLC (“Treasure
Island”) requests to remove Emily Garnett, Esq. as counsel of record in 10 this matter and that the
email address egarnett@bhfs.com be removed from the service list. ECF No. 11 175. Treasure
Island represents that Ms. Garnett is longer part of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP 12
(“Brownstein”), the law firm representing it. Id. Gregory A. Brower, Esq., Emily L. Dyer, Esq.,
and 13 Madyson B. Bathke, Esq. from Brownstein will continue as counsel for Treasure Island.
For good cause 14 shown and because the Motion is unopposed, the Court grants the Motion. The
Court also sua sponte 15 instructs the Clerk of Court to list that Ms. Bathke is an attorney of
Brownstein and not the Claggett & 16 Sykes Law Firm as currently listed on CM/ECF Service in
this matter. 17 // 18 // 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 1

1 ACCORDINGLY,

2 IT IS ORDERED that: 3 1. The Motion to Remove Attorney (ECF No. 175) is GRANTED. 4 2.
Emily Garnett, Esq., is to be removed as counsel of record for defendant Treasure Island, 5 LLC
and the email egarnett@bhfs.com is to be removed from CM/ECF service in this matter. 6 3. The
Clerk of Court is sua sponte instructed to list that Madyson B. Bathke, Esq. is an 7 attorney of
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP on CM/ECF Service in this matter. 8 DATED: January 16,
2026. 9 IT IS SO ORDERED. fe) a Hon,Maximiljéno D. Céuvillier, III 11 Unked sae Judge 12

13 NOTICE

14 Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and 15 ||
recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the
Clerk 16 || of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the
courts of appeal 17 || may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file

objections within the specified 18 || time. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). 19 This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) 20 || failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District 21 || Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Yist, 951 F.2d 22 1153, 1157 (Oth Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). 23 || Pursuant to LR JA 3-1, the plaintiff must immediately file written notification with the court of any 24 || change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing party's attorney, 25 1 or upon the opposing party if the party is unrepresented by counsel. Failure to comply with this rule may 2 result in dismissal of the action. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 3