

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WASHINGTON

Michael Voivod, individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated v. Pyramid Theodore Management LLC et al.

Voivod v. Pyramid Theodore Management LLC · No. 2:25-cv-01927-JHC · Decided December 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington granted Plaintiff Michael Voivod’s motion to remand. The Court remanded the putative class action to King County Superior Court without oral argument.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Western District of Washington
WRITING FOR THE COURT	John Chun
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Western District of Washington
DECIDED	December 10, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01927-JHC
DISPOSITION	remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved to remand the removed putative class action to King County Superior Court. The federal district court granted the motion and remanded the case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

- subject matter jurisdiction
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- removal and remand
- class actions

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the case should be remanded to King County Superior Court.

HOLDINGS

1. The motion to remand was granted, and the case was remanded to King County Superior Court.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“the Court GRANTS the motion and REMANDS this case to King County Superior Court.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Michael Voivod brought a putative class action against numerous hotel-management and hospitality-related entities. The defendants removed the action to federal court. Voivod moved to remand, and the district court granted the motion, citing the reasons presented in the motion papers and recent decisions from other judges in the district.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in or removed from King County Superior Court to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. Plaintiff moved to remand, and the district court granted the motion without oral argument and returned the case to King County Superior Court.

DISPOSITION

remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The case is remanded to King County Superior Court.

CASES CITED

- Floyd v. Photon Infotech Inc., 2025 WL 3442736 (W.D. Wash. Dec. 1, 2025)
- Eggleston v. Bruckner Truck Sales Inc., 2025 WL 3153503 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 12, 2025)
- Hill v. Les Schwab Tire Centers of Washington LLC, 2025 WL 3062646 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 31, 2025)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 MICHAEL VOIVOD, individually and on 11 behalf of all
others similarly situated, NO. 2:25-cv-01927-JHC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER 13 v. 14 PYRAMID
THEODORE MANAGEMENT 15 LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as
HOTEL THEODORE, 16 ROOSEVELT HOTEL, RIDER, and MADE; ASPEN LODGING
GROUP, LLC, 17 a foreign limited liability company doing 18 business as HOTEL THEODORE,
HOTEL MURANO, and HOTEL MAX; 19 THEODORE F&B, LLC, a foreign limited liability
company doing business as RIDER 20 and MADE COFFEE; ROOSEVELT HOTEL OWNER
LLC, a foreign limited 21 liability company; PYRAMID MAX 22 MANAGEMENT LLC, a
foreign limited liability company doing business as HOTEL 23 MAX; PYRAMID MURANO

MANAGEMENT LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as HOTEL MURANO, BITE, and LOBBY BAR; PYRAMID SEATTLE MANAGEMENT LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as HILTON GARDEN INN – SEATTLE DOWNTOWN; PYRAMID 1 SEATTLE TENANT LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as HILTON GARDEN INN SEATTLE DOWNTOWN; KS TACOMA HOTEL, LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as HOTEL MURANO, BITE, and LOBBY BAR; BENCHMARK BELLEVUE LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as INTERCONTINENTAL BELLEVUE AT THE AVENUE; BMC – THE BENCHMARK MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, a foreign limited liability company; BELLEVUE LUXURY HOSPITALITY LLC, a foreign limited liability company doing business as INTERCONTINENTAL BELLEVUE AT THE AVENUE; and DOES 1-20, as yet unknown Washington entities, Defendants.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand. Dkt. # 12. The Court has considered the materials filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, the rest of the file, and the governing law.

The Court find oral argument unnecessary. Being fully advised, for the reasons presented by Plaintiff, Dkt. # 12 and 14, and in line with recent decisions of other judges in this District —e.g., *Floyd v. Photon Infotech Inc.*, 2025 WL 3442736 (W.D. Wash. December 1, 2025); *Eggleston v. Bruckner Truck Sales Inc.*, 2025 WL 3153503 (W.D. Wash. November 12, 2025); and *Hill v. Les Schwab Tire Centers of Washington LLC*, 2025 WL 3062646 (W.D.

Wash. October 31, 2025)—the Court GRANTS the motion and REMANDS this case to King County Superior Court. 1 DATED this 10th day of December, 2025. 2 John 4. Chun 4 United States District Judge 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 Opncp Darr2