

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Gerardo Amador Reyes v. The State of Texas

Reyes · No. 13-25-00607-CR, 13-25-00608-CR, 13-25-00609-CR · Decided April 30, 2026

SUMMARY

The Thirteenth Court of Appeals of Texas reviewed three appeals from the revocation of Gerardo Amador Reyes’s community supervision. The court determined, after independently reviewing the record and Anders briefs, that there were no arguable grounds for appeal, granted counsel’s motions to withdraw, and affirmed the trial court’s judgments. The opinion is designated not for publication.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the Thirteenth District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Jenny Cron; Chief Justice Tijerina; Justice West; Justice Cron
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the Thirteenth District of Texas
DECIDED	April 30, 2026
DOCKET	13-25-00607-CR, 13-25-00608-CR, 13-25-00609-CR
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from judgments revoking community supervision, adjudicating appellant guilty of three underlying offenses, and sentencing him to concurrent eight-year prison terms; appointed appellate counsel filed Anders briefs and motions to withdraw.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Upon receipt of an Anders brief, the appellate court must conduct a full examination of the proceedings to determine whether the appeal is wholly frivolous and whether any reversible error exists.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Gerardo Amador Reyes v. The State of Texas

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- probation
- standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

- criminal procedure
- appellate procedure
- community supervision revocation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether independent review of the records disclosed any nonfrivolous or reversible issue after appointed counsel filed Anders briefs.
2. Whether appointed appellate counsel should be permitted to withdraw after complying with Anders and Texas requirements.

HOLDINGS

1. The court found no issue that would arguably support an appeal and no reversible error in the trial court's judgments.
2. The court granted appointed counsel's motions to withdraw and ordered counsel to provide Reyes with the opinion and judgments and advise him of his right to seek discretionary review.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Upon receiving an Anders brief, we must conduct a full examination of all the proceedings to determine whether the case is wholly frivolous.” (3)

“We have reviewed the record and counsel’s briefs, and we have found nothing that would arguably support an appeal.” (3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Reyes pleaded guilty to evading arrest or detention with a motor vehicle, assault on a public servant, and stalking. He was placed on eight years of community supervision in each case. The State later alleged multiple supervision violations, and following a contested hearing the trial court found the allegations true, revoked supervision, adjudicated Reyes guilty, and sentenced him to concurrent eight-year prison terms.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Reyes pleaded guilty in three separate cases to evading arrest or detention with a motor vehicle, assault on a public servant, and stalking, and received eight years of community supervision in each case. The State moved to revoke supervision, alleging violations in each case. After a contested hearing, the trial court found the allegations true, revoked supervision, adjudicated Reyes guilty, imposed concurrent eight-year prison sentences, and entered judgments. The court of appeals independently reviewed the records, granted counsel's motions to withdraw, and affirmed.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967)
- In re Schulman, 252 S.W.3d 403, 406 n.9, 408–09, 408 n.17, 411 n.35 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding)

- Hawkins v. State, 112 S.W.3d 340, 343–44 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi–Edinburg 2003, no pet.)
- Stafford v. State, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 n.3, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991)
- High v. State, 573 S.W.2d 807, 813 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978)
- Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–22 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014)
- Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988)
- Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005)
- Ex parte Owens, 206 S.W.3d 670, 673 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-for-the-thirteenth-district-of-texas-court-of-appeals-for-the-thirteenth-district-o/2026/gerardo-amador-reyes-v-the-state-of-texas-4wkwfsa7>