

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT

Gursky Ragan, P.A., etc., et al. v. Association of Poinciana Villages, Inc., etc.

No. 3D19-0696 · No. No. 3D19-0696 · Decided December 23, 2020

SUMMARY

The Third District Court of Appeal of Florida affirmed final summary judgment for the Association of Poinciana Villages in a defamation action arising from disclosure of a bar complaint attached to a separate replevin complaint. The court held that the absolute litigation privilege applied because the alleged defamatory statements bore some relation to the subject of the replevin proceeding.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Third District
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Lindsey, J.; Fernandez, J.; Gordo, J.
JURISDICTION	Florida
DECIDED	December 23, 2020
DOCKET	No. 3D19-0696
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from an order entering final summary judgment for the appellee in a defamation action.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Not expressly stated; appeal from final summary judgment.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Gursky Ragan, P.A., Darrin Gursky, Marnie Ragan v. Association of Poinciana Villages

TOPICS

[defamation](#)[summary judgment](#)[civil procedure](#)[appellate procedure](#)[remedies](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[defamation](#)[civil procedure](#)[appellate procedure](#)[remedies](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the alleged defamatory statements were sufficiently related or connected to the subject of the separate replevin action to fall within Florida's absolute litigation privilege.
2. Whether the bar complaint privilege applied to the disclosure of the complaint outside the grievance process.

HOLDINGS

1. The absolute litigation privilege barred the defamation action because the alleged defamatory statements bore some relation to or connection with the subject of the replevin action.
2. The bar complaint privilege did not apply because the contents of the complaint were disclosed outside the grievance process; the judgment was affirmed instead on the absolute litigation privilege.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The law has long recognized that judges, counsel, parties, and witnesses should be absolutely exempted from liability to an action for defamatory words published in the course of judicial proceedings, regardless of how false or malicious the statements may be, as long as the statements bear some relation to or connection with the subject of inquiry.” (at 1)

“In other words, defamatory statements are absolutely privileged when they are (1) published in the course of judicial proceedings and (2) bear some relation to or connection with the subject of inquiry.” (at 1)

“In recognition of the necessity of providing for the free flow of information, however, courts have not imposed a strict relevancy test in determining whether a statement made in the judicial process is entitled to immunity; rather, courts provide for absolute immunity if a statement is made during the course of the proceeding and ‘has some relation to the proceeding.’” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The underlying defamation claim arose from disclosure of the contents of a bar complaint against Gursky and Ragan. The complaint was disclosed when it was attached to a pleading in a separate replevin action. The appellate court held that the alleged defamatory material bore some relation to the replevin proceeding.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Appellants brought a defamation action based on disclosure of the contents of a bar complaint against Gursky and Ragan. The bar complaint was attached to a complaint in a separate replevin action, and the circuit court entered final summary judgment for the Association of Poinciana Villages on the ground that the absolute litigation privilege barred the defamation claim. The Third District Court of Appeal affirmed.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- DelMonico v. Traynor, 116 So. 3d 1205, 1211 (Fla. 2013)

- Tobkin v. Jarboe, 710 So. 2d 975, 976 (Fla. 1998)
- Hope v. Nat'l All. of Postal & Fed. Emps., 649 So. 2d 897, 901 (Fla. 1st DCA 1995)
- Levin, Middlebrooks, Mabie, Thomas, Mayes & Mitchell, P.A. v. U.S. Fire Ins. Co., 639 So. 2d 606, 608 (Fla. 1994)
- Myers v. Hodges, 44 So. 357, 362 (Fla. 1907)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/florida-district-court-of-appeal-of-florida-third-district/2020/gursky-ragan-p-a-etc-et-al-v-association-of-poinciana-512jnr80>