

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,  
FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. Jennings

2026 NY Slip Op 02939 (1st Dep't 2026) · No. Ind. No. 72831/22; Appeal No. 6603; Case No. 2023-06689 ·  
Decided May 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, finding that the sentence imposed on Sean Jennings was not excessive. The decision concerns an appeal from a judgment rendered May 11, 2023.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

People v Jennings - 2026 NY Slip Op 02939 skip to main content <p>It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.</p><div><header><div></div><div><span title="Law Reporting Bureau Home Page">Law Reporting <br>Bureau <span></span></span></span><span>Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter</span> <nav> Court Decisions Resources About </nav> <div> </div> </header> </div> <nav> <ol><li>Home</li> <li>All Court Decisions</li> <li>Decisions</li> </ol> </nav> <div> <div><h1>People v Jennings</h1> <p>2026 NY Slip Op 02939</p> <p>May 12, 2026</p> <p>Appellate Division, First Department</p> <p>Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.</p> <p>This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.</p> </div> <div> <p>The People of the State of New York, Respondent,</p> <p>v</p> <p>Sean Jennings, Appellant.</p> </div> <p>Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026</p> <p>Ind.

No. 72831/22|Appeal No. 6603|Case No. 2023-06689|</p> <p>Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ. </p> <div> <p>The Legal Aid Society, New York (Harold V. Ferguson, Jr. of counsel), for appellant.</p> <p>Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Emily A. Aldridge of counsel), for respondent.</p> </div> <span>[\*1]</span> <p>An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Guy H. Mitchell, J.), rendered May 11, 2023,</p> <p>Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive,</p> <p>It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same

is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department.

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

People v Jennings - 2026 NY Slip Op 02939

skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.




Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

# People v Jennings

2026 NY Slip Op 02939

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

The People of the State of New York, Respondent,

v

Sean Jennings, Appellant.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Ind.

No. 72831/22|Appeal No. 6603|Case No. 2023-06689|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ.

The Legal Aid Society, New York (Harold V. Ferguson, Jr. of counsel), for appellant.

Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Emily A. Aldridge of counsel), for respondent.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Guy H. Mitchell, J.), rendered May 11, 2023,

Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive,

It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED:

May 12, 2026

Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department.

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

People v Jennings - 2026 NY Slip Op 02939 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions People v Jennings 2026 NY Slip Op 02939 May 12, 2026 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. The People of the State of New York, Respondent, v Sean Jennings, Appellant. Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026 Ind. No. 72831/22|Appeal No. 6603|Case No. 2023-06689| Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ. The Legal Aid Society, New York (Harold V. Ferguson, Jr. of counsel), for appellant.


Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Emily A. Aldridge of counsel), for respondent. [\*1] An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Guy H. Mitchell, J.), rendered May 11, 2023, Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: May 12, 2026 Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department. Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

People v Jennings - 2026 NY Slip Op 02939 skip to main content


It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

 Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About



Home All Court Decisions Decisions

People v Jennings

2026 NY Slip Op 02939

May 12, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

The People of the State of New York, Respondent,

v

Sean Jennings, Appellant.

Decided and Entered: May 12, 2026

Ind.

No. 72831/22|Appeal No. 6603|Case No. 2023-06689|

Before: Scarpulla, J.P., Mendez, Shulman, Hagler, JJ.

The Legal Aid Society, New York (Harold V. Ferguson, Jr. of counsel), for appellant.

Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Emily A. Aldridge of counsel), for respondent.

An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County (Guy H. Mitchell, J.), rendered May 11, 2023,

Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive,

It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: May 12, 2026

Counsel for appellant is referred to

§ 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division,

First Department.

Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.