

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS,
CORPUS CHRISTI–EDINBURG

In re N J Malin & Associates LLC d/b/a Malin Integrated Solutions
and Design

In re N J Malin · No. 13-25-00678-CV · Decided December 29, 2025

SUMMARY

The Thirteenth Court of Appeals of Texas considered a petition for writ of mandamus seeking to compel the trial court to vacate orders requiring discovery concerning the relator’s net worth. After the parties reached an agreement resolving the issue, the court granted the relator’s unopposed motion to dismiss, lifted the stay, dismissed the original proceeding, and ordered the parties to bear their own costs.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the Thirteenth District of Texas, Corpus Christi–Edinburg
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Clarissa Silva; Justice Cron; Justice Fonseca
JURISDICTION	Texas Court of Appeals, Thirteenth District, Corpus Christi–Edinburg
DECIDED	December 29, 2025
DOCKET	13-25-00678-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original proceeding on a petition for writ of mandamus seeking to compel the trial court to vacate orders requiring production of discovery concerning relator’s net worth.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published memorandum opinion
PARTIES	N J Malin & Associates LLC d/b/a Malin Integrated Solutions and Design v. State of Texas

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should grant relator's unopposed motion to dismiss its petition for writ of mandamus after the parties reached an agreement resolving the dispute.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The trial court entered orders requiring N J Malin & Associates LLC to produce discovery relating to its net worth. The company sought mandamus relief to compel the trial court to vacate those orders. The parties subsequently reached an agreement resolving the discovery issue.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Relator filed a petition for writ of mandamus challenging trial-court discovery orders and obtained a stay. After the parties reached an agreement resolving the issue, relator filed an unopposed motion to dismiss the original proceeding. The court granted the motion, lifted the previously imposed stay, dismissed the proceeding, and ordered the parties to bear their own costs.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- In re Contract Freighters, Inc., 646 S.W.3d 810, 813 (Tex. 2022) (orig. proceeding) (per curiam)
- Heckman v. Williamson County, 369 S.W.3d 137, 162 (Tex. 2012)
- In re Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., 166 S.W.3d 732, 737 (Tex. 2005) (orig. proceeding)