

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS

Joseph E. Harrison v. Wexford Health Sources Inc., et al.

Harrison · No. 25-cv-2188 · Decided December 11, 2025

SUMMARY

This scheduling order governs discovery, disclosures, motions, expert testimony, and summary judgment deadlines in a pro se civil rights action brought by Joseph E. Harrison against Wexford Health Sources Inc. and other defendants. The order was entered by the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois on December 11, 2025, and sets discovery to close on June 11, 2026, with summary judgment motions due July 13, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jonathan E. Hawley
DECIDED	December 11, 2025
DOCKET	25-cv-2188
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Scheduling order in a pro se civil-rights action after service of all defendants, setting discovery, amendment, dispositive-motion, and trial-related deadlines.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential case-management order
PARTIES	Joseph E. Harrison v. Wexford Health Sources Inc., et al.

TOPICS

discovery dispute summary judgment motion to amend sanctions civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure civil rights health law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. What scheduling deadlines and discovery procedures should govern the parties as the civil-rights action enters discovery?

2. What procedures apply to any motion to amend the complaint, identification of Doe defendants, exhaustion motions, discovery disputes, expert disclosures, and summary-judgment motions?

HOLDINGS

1. The case was ready for scheduling, and the parties were required to comply with the discovery, disclosure, motion, and deadline procedures set forth in the scheduling order.
2. A motion to compel could not be filed until a party had failed to adequately respond to a discovery request, and the motion had to be filed within 14 days of receiving an unsatisfactory response to a timely discovery request.
3. Summary-judgment motions were due July 13, 2026, and any response had to address each proposed undisputed fact and be supported by evidence rather than allegations alone.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“This case is entering the discovery phase, which means that each party should be seeking admissible evidence to support the party's claims or defenses.” (Introductory paragraph)

“A motion to compel cannot be filed until after a party has failed to adequately respond to a discovery request.” (§ 20)

“The response to a summary judgment motion must be supported with more than just the allegations made in the complaint.” (§ 31)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Joseph E. Harrison is pursuing a civil-rights action against Wexford Health Sources Inc. and other defendants. The order indicates that Harrison is proceeding pro se and may be detained or incarcerated and indigent. All defendants had been served, and the case was entering the discovery phase; the order does not describe the underlying incidents or specific claims.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff brought a civil-rights action against Wexford Health Sources Inc. and other defendants. After all defendants were served and no issues remained pending for discussion, the district court entered a scheduling order governing discovery, potential amendment, exhaustion motions, summary judgment, and further proceedings.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Ott v. City of Milwaukee, 682 F.3d 552, 557 (7th Cir. 2012)

