

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA**

**Patrick L. Booker v. Officer A. DeLaura, Officer M. Madden,
Greenwood Police Department**

Booker · No. 8:24-cv-04592-DCC · Decided May 19, 2026

OPINION

Patrick L. Booker v. Officer A. DeLaura, Officer M. Madden, Greenwood Police Department
PER CURIAM.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Patrick L. Booker,) Case No. 8:24-cv-04592-DCC) Plaintiff,)) v.) ORDER) Officer A.
DeLaura, Officer M. Madden,) Greenwood Police Department,)) Defendants.)
_____) This matter is before the Court for review of
Defendants’ motion to enforce settlement. ECF No. 46. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and
Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge
Mary Gordon Baker for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation (“Report”). On
February 5, 2026, Defendants filed a motion titled “Defendants’ Motions for Involuntary
Dismissal or to Enforce Settlement Agreement,” which was docketed as a motion to enforce
judgment. ECF No. 46. On March 19, 2026, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending
that the motion be granted. ECF No. 52. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures
and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences for failing to do
so. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Report and the time to do so has lapsed. The Magistrate
Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive
weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See *Mathews
v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any
portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court
may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate
Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).
The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See *Diamond
v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the
absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead
must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the
recommendation.” (citation omitted)). After considering the record in this case, the applicable law,
and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error and agrees with the Report’s

recommendation. Defendant's motion to enforce judgment [46] is GRANTED. This action is dismissed with prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge May 19, 2026 Spartanburg, South Carolina

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-south-carol/2026/patrick-l-booker-v-officer-a-delaura-officer-m-madden-5bwxxnlz>