

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Seyed Saeid Zamanieh Shahri v. Los Rios Community College
District, et al.

Zamanieh Shahri · No. 2:23-cv-1569-DJC-JDP (PS) · Decided February 24, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after considering the plaintiff’s objections. The court granted the defendant’s motion to dismiss, dismissed Folsom Lake College, and referred the matter back to the magistrate judge for further pretrial proceedings.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Daniel J. Calabretta
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	February 24, 2025
DOCKET	2:23-cv-1569-DJC-JDP (PS)
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations after plaintiff filed objections, adopted the findings and recommendations in full, granted a defendant's motion to dismiss, and dismissed Folsom Lake College.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court presumed the magistrate judge's findings of fact were correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's conclusions of law de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished
PARTIES	Seyed Saeid Zamanieh Shahri v. Los Rios Community College District, et al.

TOPICS

- motions to dismiss
- civil rights
- section 1983
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations should be adopted after review of plaintiff's objections.
2. Whether defendant's motion to dismiss should be granted.
3. Whether Folsom Lake College should be dismissed from the action.

HOLDINGS

1. The findings and recommendations filed February 4, 2025, were supported by the record and proper legal analysis and were adopted in full.
2. Defendant's motion to dismiss was granted, and Folsom Lake College was dismissed from the action.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court... .” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying civil-rights claims. It states only that plaintiff proceeded pro se and that the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations addressing defendant's motion to dismiss.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The matter was referred to a magistrate judge under Local Rule 302(c)(21). The magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations on February 4, 2025, recommending disposition of defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff filed objections on February 14, 2025. The district court considered the objections, adopted the findings and recommendations in full, granted the motion to dismiss, dismissed Folsom Lake College, and referred the matter back to the magistrate judge for further pretrial matters.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/seyed-saeid-zamanieh-shahri-v-los-rios-community-college-5fq2cxsq>