

APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. Thompson

2026 NY Slip Op 00478 (N.Y. Ct. App. 2026) · No. Ind. No. 969/20; Appeal No. 5732; Case No. 2024-00927 · Decided February 3, 2026

SUMMARY

The New York Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, in a criminal case involving Mitchell Thompson. The court concluded that the sentence was not excessive.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Webber, J.P.; Kennedy, J.; Mendez, J.; Rodriguez, J.; Michael, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	February 3, 2026
DOCKET	Ind. No. 969/20; Appeal No. 5732; Case No. 2024-00927
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered January 23, 2024.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed whether the sentence was excessive.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Mitchell Thompson v. The People of the State of New York

TOPICS

sentencing

appellate procedure

standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal law

criminal procedure

appellate practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the sentence imposed by the Supreme Court, New York County, was excessive.

HOLDINGS

1. The sentence was not excessive, and the judgment appealed from was affirmed.

KEY QUOTATIONS

*“It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.” (*1)*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive factual account of the underlying criminal conduct. It states only that Mitchell Thompson appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, and that the appellate court found the sentence not excessive.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Supreme Court, New York County, entered a judgment against Mitchell Thompson on January 23, 2024. Thompson appealed to the First Department, which unanimously affirmed after finding that the sentence was not excessive.

DISPOSITION

affirmed