

MASSACHUSETTS SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

Giamarco v. Ruggiero

454 Mass. 1021 (2009) · Decided August 24, 2009

SUMMARY

The Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court affirmed the denial of a petition under G. L. c. 211, § 3, challenging a medical malpractice tribunal’s determination that the plaintiff could proceed without posting a bond. The court held that relief under § 211, § 3, was unavailable because the petitioner had already sought review under G. L. c. 231, § 118, and had not shown that review could not be obtained through other available means.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam
JURISDICTION	Massachusetts
DECIDED	August 24, 2009
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Giamarco petitioned under G. L. c. 211, § 3, for review of a medical malpractice tribunal decision permitting Ruggiero to proceed without posting a bond. A single justice of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court denied the petition, and Giamarco appealed.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Whether the single justice committed a clear error of law or abused her discretion in denying extraordinary relief under G. L. c. 211, § 3.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court opinion; precedential.
PARTIES	Matteo L. Giamarco v. Anne Marie Ruggiero

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- interlocutory appeal
- writ of certiorari
- medical malpractice
- health law

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Appellate procedure
- Medical malpractice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether extraordinary relief under G. L. c. 211, § 3, was available to review the medical malpractice tribunal's decision after Giamarco had unsuccessfully sought relief under G. L. c. 231, § 118.
2. Whether the single justice clearly erred or abused her discretion by denying Giamarco's petition.

HOLDINGS

1. Relief under G. L. c. 211, § 3, does not lie when review under G. L. c. 231, § 118, would suffice, and the extraordinary supervisory statute does not provide a second opportunity as a matter of right for interlocutory relief after relief under § 118 has been denied.
2. The single justice did not commit a clear error of law or abuse her discretion in denying Giamarco's petition.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Review under G. L. c. 211, § 3, does not lie where review under c. 231, § 118, would suffice.” (454 Mass. at 1021)

“Although [Giamarco's] petition pursuant to G. L. c. 231, § 118, was denied, G. L. c. 211, § 3, does not provide a second opportunity as a matter of right for interlocutory relief.” (454 Mass. at 1021)

“The power of this court to superintend the lower courts pursuant to G. L. c. 211, § 3, is extraordinary and is exercised sparingly.” (454 Mass. at 1022)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Ruggiero filed a medical malpractice action against Giamarco. A medical malpractice tribunal concluded that her evidence, if properly substantiated, was sufficient to raise a legitimate question of liability, allowing her to proceed without posting a bond. Giamarco challenged that determination through several interlocutory procedures.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Ruggiero brought a medical malpractice claim against Giamarco in the District Court. The case was transferred to the Superior Court for a medical malpractice tribunal hearing under G. L. c. 231, § 60B; the tribunal found sufficient evidence to raise a legitimate question of liability and allowed Ruggiero to proceed without filing a bond. Giamarco unsuccessfully sought relief under G. L. c. 231, § 118, first paragraph, from a single justice and a panel of the Appeals Court, then filed a G. L. c. 211, § 3, petition in the Supreme Judicial Court. The single justice denied that petition, and the Supreme Judicial Court affirmed.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Ruggiero v. Giamarco, 73 Mass. App. Ct. 743, 743 (2009)
- Greco v. Plymouth Sav. Bank, 423 Mass. 1019, 1019-1020 (1996)
- Kopycinski v. Aserkoff, 410 Mass. 410, 412 (1991)
- Guzzi v. Secretary of Pub. Safety, 450 Mass. 1016, 1016 (2007)
- Mirrione v. Jacobs, 446 Mass. 1001 (2006)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/massachusetts-massachusetts-supreme-judicial-court/2009/giamarco-v-ruggiero-5mz2a061>