

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA

White v. State, 278 Ga. 499

604 S.E.2d 159 (2004) · No. S04A1394 · Decided October 12, 2004

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Georgia reviewed Antonio Dewayne White's convictions for felony murder, attempted armed robbery, armed robbery, and aggravated assault. The court held that the evidence was sufficient to establish White's participation as a party to the crimes, including reliance on a co-indictee's prior inconsistent statement as substantive evidence. It affirmed the convictions in part but vacated the separate conviction and sentence for attempted armed robbery because that offense merged into the felony murder conviction.

OPINION

CARLEY, J.

604 S.E.2d 159 (2004) 278 Ga. 499 WHITE v. The STATE. No. S04A1394. Supreme Court of Georgia. October 12, 2004. Bernadette C. Crucilla, Macon, for appellant. Howard Z. Simms, Dist. Atty., Gregory W. Winters, Asst. Dist. Atty., Thurbert E. Baker, Atty Gen., Andrette Watson, Asst. Atty. Gen., for appellee. CARLEY, Justice. A jury found Antonio Dewayne White guilty of felony murder while in the commission *160 of attempted armed robbery, a separate count of the underlying attempted armed robbery, two counts of armed robbery, and two counts of aggravated assault.

The trial court entered judgments of conviction and imposed three consecutive sentences of life imprisonment for the felony murder and armed robbery charges. Additionally, the trial court sentenced White to consecutive twenty-year terms for the aggravated assault charges and to a concurrent ten-year term for attempted armed robbery, all of which are to be served on probation.

The trial court denied a motion for new trial, and White appealed to the Court of Appeals, which properly transferred the case to this Court.[1] 1. In his sole enumeration of error, White contends that the evidence is not sufficient for a rational trier of fact to find beyond a reasonable doubt that he was a party to the crimes. Construed in support of the verdicts, the evidence shows the following: White and four others who were riding in the same car engaged in two violent incidents.

During the first, they pulled behind the car of Walter Bivens and robbed him at gun-point. After taking items of personal property, they forced him out of his car, prompting him to flee from the

scene as shots were fired at him. According to Mr. Bivens, all parties except the driver exited the car.

The second incident occurred soon thereafter at a cookout in an apartment complex. White and the others left the car, and at least one began firing a gun, ordering the victims to "give it up." Leon Wimberly, a co-indictee, shot and killed Terrence Thomas.

Although Wimberly testified that White did not have prior knowledge of the robbery plan, Wimberly originally told police that White and two others pointed a gun at him and ordered him to commit the crime. "While mere presence at the scene of the commission of a crime is not sufficient evidence to convict one of being a party thereto, presence, companionship, and conduct before and after the offense are circumstances from which one's participation in the criminal intent may be inferred."

[Cit.] *Johnson v. State*, 275 Ga. 650, 654(8), 571 S.E.2d 782 (2002). White admitted that he was not the driver and that he left the car during the first incident, willingly reentered the car, and went with the others to another crime scene. Furthermore, Mr. Bivens testified that all occupants other than the driver exited the vehicle and actively participated in the attack.

A rational trier of fact clearly could infer White's participation and criminal intent from this evidence. See *Felder v. State*, 270 Ga. 641, 642(1), 644(4), 514 S.E.2d 416 (1999); *Walsh v. State*, 269 Ga. 427, 429(1), 499 S.E.2d 332 (1998).

As to the second incident, White argues that Wimberly's prior statement is not sufficient evidence to support the verdicts because it was retracted at trial and was uncorroborated. However, "(a) prior inconsistent statement of a witness who takes the stand and is subject to cross-examination is admissible as substantive evidence, and is not limited in value only to impeachment purposes.'

[Cits.]" *Berry v. State*, 268 Ga. 437, 438(1), 490 S.E.2d 389 (1997). See also *Gibbons v. State*, 248 Ga. 858, 862, 286 S.E.2d 717 (1982). Thus, Wimberly's pre-trial statement constituted substantive evidence of White's guilt, and it was within the province of the jury to believe that statement and to reject Wimberly's testimony at trial. *Berry v. State*, *supra*.

Furthermore, "the State is required to produce only slight corroborative evidence of [Wimberly's] participation in the crime[s]. [Cit.]" *Jones v. State*, 271 Ga. 433, 434, 520 S.E.2d 690 (1999). The jury could find that White's own statement, "which was replete with details that only a participant in the crime would know, sufficiently corroborates the statement of [Wimberly] implicating *161 [White] as a guilty party.

[Cits.]" *Jones v. State*, *supra*. Accordingly, we conclude that a rational trier of fact could find beyond a reasonable doubt that White was guilty as a party to the crimes charged. *Jackson v. Virginia*, 443 U.S. 307, 99 S. Ct. 2781, 61 L. Ed. 2d 560 (1979). See also *Jones v. State*, *supra*;

McKenzie v. State, 271 Ga. 47(1), 518 S.E.2d 404 (1999); Felder v. State, *supra*; Berry v. State, *supra*.

2. Because the underlying attempted armed robbery merged into the felony murder conviction, the trial court erred in entering a separate judgment of conviction and sentence on the jury's verdict finding White guilty of attempt to commit armed robbery. Mainer v. State, 267 Ga. 448, 449(4), 479 S.E.2d 731 (1997); Hawkins v. State, 267 Ga. 124(2), 475 S.E.2d 625 (1996).

Therefore, that separate judgment and sentence, even though not enumerated as error, must be vacated. Curtis v. State, 275 Ga. 576, 577(1), 571 S.E.2d 376 (2002); Mainer v. State, *supra* at 450(4), 479 S.E.2d 731; Hawkins v. State, *supra*. Judgments affirmed in part and vacated in part. All the Justices concur. NOTES [1] The crimes occurred on July 19, 2001, and the grand jury returned its indictment on June 4, 2002.

The jury found White guilty on December 3, 2002 and, on December 5, 2002, the trial court entered the judgments of conviction and sentences. On December 19, 2002, White filed a motion for new trial, which the trial court denied on May 16, 2003. White filed a notice of appeal on May 21, 2003.

The case was docketed in this Court on April 23, 2004 and submitted for decision on June 14, 2004.