

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**Renee S. Hartz, M.D. v. Victor R. Farrugia; Robert A. Kutcher;
Nicole Tygier; Chopin, Wagar, Richard & Kutcher, LLP**

360 F. App'x 541 (5th Cir. 2010) (per curiam) · No. 09-30358 · Decided January 11, 2010

SUMMARY

Renee Hartz brought a legal-malpractice action alleging that an attorney failed to inform her of a potential claim against her former lawyer. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirmed the district court's grant of summary judgment for the defendants and denial of summary judgment for Hartz, finding no reversible error.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Case: 09-30358 Document: 00511000347 Page: 1 Date Filed: 01/11/2010 IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit FILED January 11, 2010 No. 09-30358 Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk RENEE S. HARTZ, M.D., Plaintiff-Appellant, versus VICTOR R. FARRUGIA; ROBERT A. KUTCHER; NICOLE TYGIER; CHOPIN, WAGAR, RICHARD & KUTCHER, LLP, Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana No. 2:06-CV-3164 Before JONES, Chief Judge, SMITH and ELROD, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:* Renee Hartz sues for legal malpractice stemming from the allegedly actionable failure of one of her attorneys to inform her of a potential claim against * Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR.

R. 47.5.4. Case: 09-30358 Document: 00511000347 Page: 2 Date Filed: 01/11/2010 No. 09-30358 her former lawyer. The district court granted summary judgment for defendants and denied summary judgment for Hartz. We have reviewed the briefs, the applicable law, and pertinent portions of the record and have heard the arguments of counsel.

Because there is no reversible error, the judgment is AFFIRMED. 2