

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Erika Virginia Porras Castillo v. Timothy S. Robbins, et al.

Porras Castillo v. Robbins · No. 1:25-CV-01586-JLT-HBK · Decided January 9, 2026

SUMMARY

This document is a stipulation and approved order in Erika Virginia Porras Castillo v. Timothy S. Robbins, et al., in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California. The parties requested, and the court ordered, a stay of further briefing on the habeas petition pending resolution of a related Ninth Circuit appeal. The order directs the parties to meet and confer and submit a proposed briefing schedule within 21 days after the appellate ruling.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Helena M. Barch-Kuchta
DECIDED	January 9, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-CV-01586-JLT-HBK
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner filed a federal habeas petition and moved for a temporary restraining order. After granting temporary relief and converting it to a preliminary injunction, the court approved the parties' stipulation to stay further briefing pending resolution of a related Ninth Circuit appeal.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Erika Virginia Porras Castillo v. Timothy S. Robbins, et al.

TOPICS

- federal habeas corpus
- immigration detention
- injunctions
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Federal habeas corpus
- Immigration detention
- Civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should stay further briefing and proceedings pending resolution of a related Ninth Circuit appeal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“No later than twenty-one (21) days after a ruling is issued in that case, the parties are directed to meet and confer and file joint proposed briefing schedule for the petition in this case.” (Order at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Petitioner was in custody and sought habeas relief and a temporary restraining order concerning a parole revocation hearing. The court ordered the government to hold the hearing, and Petitioner was subsequently released from custody. The parties represented that the case presented issues likely to be dispositively resolved by a related appeal pending in the Ninth Circuit.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On November 18, 2025, Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus and a motion for a temporary restraining order. The court granted partial temporary relief requiring a parole revocation hearing, after which Petitioner was released, and later converted the temporary restraining order into a preliminary injunction. The court then stayed further briefing on the habeas petition pending the Ninth Circuit's resolution of *Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock*.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *Rodriguez Vazquez v. Bostock*, 779 F. Supp. 3d 1239 (W.D. Wash. 2025)

OPINION

1 EURniItCed G SRtaAteNsT A ttorney 2 CHARLES CAMPBELL Assistant United States Attorney 3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 5 Attorneys for Respondents 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ERIKA VIRGINIA PORRAS CASTILLO, CASE NO. 1:25-CV-01586-JLT-HBK 12 Petitioner, STIPULATION AND APPROVED ORDER STAYING FURTHER BRIEFING 13 v. 14 TIMOTHY S. ROBBINS, ET AL., (Doc.

No. 18) 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner Erika Porras Castillo, by and through her counsel of record, Jose F. Vergara, and 18 Respondents, by and through their counsel Eric Grant, United States Attorney, and Charles Campbell, 19 Assistant United States Attorney, stipulate as follows: 20 1.

On November 18, 2025, Petitioner filed a Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Motion 21 for a Temporary Restraining Order. Dkt. Nos. 1, 2. 22 2. On November 24, 2025, Respondents filed their opposition to the Motion for a Temporary 23 Restraining Order. Dkt. No. 11. 24 3.

On December 2, 2025, this Court granted in part Petitioner's request for a Temporary 25 Restraining Order. In granting this relief, the Court ordered the government to hold a parole 26 revocation hearing. Dkt. No. 13. Petitioner was released from custody shortly thereafter. 27 4.

On January 6, 2026, this Court converted the Temporary Restraining Order into a 28 Preliminary Injunction. Dkt. No. 16. In that filing, this Court directed Respondents to file a 1 further brief on the merits of the habeas petition within 30 days. Dkt. No. 16. 2 5. This matter is in a similar factual and procedural posture as the pending Ninth Circuit appeal 3 in *Rodriguez v. Bostock*, 779 F.Supp.3d 1239 (W.D.

Wash. 2025), Ninth Circuit docket no. 4 25-6842. 5 6. Because the issues in *Rodriguez* are likely to be dispositive of the issues in this case, the 6 parties ask that any further briefing deadlines in this case be held abeyance until the 7 resolution of the *Rodriguez* case. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9 Dated: January 6, 2026 ERIC GRANT 10 United States Attorney 11 /s/ CHARLES CAMPBELL 12 CHARLES CAMPBELL Assistant United States Attorney 13 Counsel for Respondents 14 15 Dated: January 6, 2026 /s/ JOSE F. VERGARA JOSE F. VERGARA 16 Counsel for Petitioner 17 E RIKA PORRAS CASTILLO 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1 ORDER OF COURT 2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' stipulation (Doc.

No.18) the proceedings in case 3 || 1:25-cv-01586-JLT-HBK are STAYED pending the resolution of the appeal of *Rodriguez Vazquez v.* 4 || *Bostock*, 779 F. Supp. 3d 1239 (W.D. Wash. 2025) before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. No later 5 || than twenty-one (21) days after a ruling is issued in that case, the parties are directed to meet and confer 6 || and file joint proposed briefing schedule for the petition in this case.

7 8 Dated: _ January 8, 2026 Kobo Zh. foareh Hack 9 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER STAYING 3 *Castillo v. Robbins*, et