

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Erika Virginia Porras Castillo v. Timothy S. Robbins, et al.

Porras Castillo v. Robbins · No. 1:25-CV-01586-JLT-HBK · Decided January 9, 2026

OPINION

Robbins

PER CURIAM.

1 EURniItCed G SRtaAteNsT A ttorney

2 CHARLES CAMPBELL

Assistant United States Attorney 3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 4 Telephone:

(916) 554-2700 5 Attorneys for Respondents 6 7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 ERIKA VIRGINIA PORRAS CASTILLO, CASE NO. 1:25-CV-01586-JLT-HBK

12 Petitioner, STIPULATION AND APPROVED ORDER

STAYING FURTHER BRIEFING

13 v.

14 TIMOTHY S. ROBBINS, ET AL., (Doc. No. 18)

15 Respondents. 16

17 Petitioner Erika Porras Castillo, by and through her counsel of record, Jose F. Vergara, and 18
Respondents, by and through their counsel Eric Grant, United States Attorney, and Charles
Campbell, 19 Assistant United States Attorney, stipulate as follows: 20 1. On November 18, 2025,
Petitioner filed a Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Motion 21 for a Temporary
Restraining Order. Dkt. Nos. 1, 2. 22 2. On November 24, 2025, Respondents filed their
opposition to the Motion for a Temporary 23 Restraining Order. Dkt. No. 11. 24 3. On December
2, 2025, this Court granted in part Petitioner’s request for a Temporary 25 Restraining Order. In
granting this relief, the Court ordered the government to hold a parole 26 revocation hearing. Dkt.
No. 13. Petitioner was released from custody shortly thereafter. 27 4. On January 6, 2026, this
Court converted the Temporary Restraining Order into a 28 Preliminary Injunction. Dkt. No. 16.
In that filing, this Court directed Respondents to file a 1 further brief on the merits of the habeas
petition within 30 days. Dkt. No. 16. 2 5. This matter is in a similar factual and procedural posture
as the pending Ninth Circuit appeal 3 in Rodriguez v. Bostock, 779 F.Supp.3d 1239 (W.D. Wash.
2025), Ninth Circuit docket no. 4 25-6842. 5 6. Because the issues in Rodriguez are likely to be
dispositive of the issues in this case, the 6 parties ask that any further briefing deadlines in this

case be held abeyance until the 7 resolution of the Rodriguez case. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9
Dated: January 6, 2026 ERIC GRANT 10 United States Attorney 11

/s/ CHARLES CAMPBELL

12 CHARLES CAMPBELL

Assistant United States Attorney 13 Counsel for Respondents 14 15 Dated: January 6, 2026 /s/

JOSE F. VERGARA

JOSE F. VERGARA

16 Counsel for Petitioner

17 ERIKA PORRAS CASTILLO

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 ORDER OF COURT

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' stipulation (Doc. No.18) the proceedings in case 3 ||
1:25-cv-01586-JLT-HBK are STAYED pending the resolution of the appeal of Rodriguez Vazquez
v. 4 || Bostock, 779 F. Supp. 3d 1239 (W.D. Wash. 2025) before the Ninth Circuit Court of
Appeals. No later 5 || than twenty-one (21) days after a ruling is issued in that case, the parties are
directed to meet and confer 6 || and file joint proposed briefing schedule for the petition in this
case. 7 8 Dated: _ January 8, 2026 Kobo Zh. foareh Hack

9 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER
STAYING 3 Castillo v. Robbins, et