

**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT FORT WORTH**

Abdias Kobe Espinoza v. The State of Texas

No. 02-25-00474-CR (Tex. App.—Fort Worth Jan. 30, 2026) · No. No. 02-25-00474-CR · Decided January 30,
2026

SUMMARY

The Court of Appeals for the Second District of Texas dismissed Abdias Kobe Espinoza’s appeal from convictions for burglary of a habitation and aggravated robbery. The court relied on the trial court’s certification that the case was a plea-bargain case with no right of appeal, as well as Espinoza’s failure to respond to the court’s notice.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

In the Court of Appeals Second Appellate District of Texas at Fort Worth

No. 02-25-00474-CR _____

ABDIAS KOBE ESPINOZA, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS On Appeal from the 432nd District Court Tarrant County, Texas Trial Court No. 1851227 Before Womack, Wallach, and Walker, JJ. Memorandum Opinion by Justice Womack MEMORANDUM OPINION Appellant Abdias Kobe Espinoza attempts to appeal his convictions for burglary of a habitation with intent to commit a felony other than felony theft and aggravated robbery.

See Tex. Penal Code Ann. §§ 29.03 (aggravated robbery), 30.02(d) (burglary of a habitation with intent to commit a felony other than felony theft). Pursuant to a charge bargain,¹ Espinoza pled guilty to those counts in exchange for the State waiving four other counts brought against him.

As part of his written plea agreement, Espinoza waived his right to appeal. In accordance with the parties’ plea agreement, the trial court found Espinoza guilty and sentenced him to forty-five years’ confinement on each of the non-waived counts, with both of them to be served concurrently. Consistent with Espinoza’s plea agreement, the trial court’s “Certification of Defendant’s Right of Appeal” states that this “is a plea-bargain case, and the defendant has NO right of appeal.” See Tex. R. App.

P. 25.2(a)(2), (d). On December 29, 2025, we notified Espinoza of the certification and warned him that we would dismiss the appeal unless we received a response by January 8, 2026, showing grounds for continuing the appeal. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(d), 44.3.

We have received no response. 1 See Harper v. State, 567 S.W.3d 450, 454–55 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2019, no pet.) (discussing charge bargains). 2 In accordance with the trial court’s certification, we dismiss Espinoza’s appeal. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(d), 43.2(f); see, e.g., Menendez v. State, No. 02-24-00033-CR, 2024 WL 1207297, at *1 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth Mar. 21, 2024, no pet.) (per curiam) (mem. op., not designated for publication). /s/ Dana Womack Dana Womack Justice Do Not Publish Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b) Delivered: January 30, 2026 3