

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Travis Shane Northcutt v. Sacramento Sheriff's Department, et al.

Northcutt · No. 2:24-cv-2503-DJC-JDP (P) · Decided June 6, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court dismissed the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim, and directed the clerk to close the case.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 TRAVIS SHANE NORTHCUTT, Case No. 2:24-cv-2503-DJC-JDP (P) 12
Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 SACRAMENTO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et al., 15 Defendants.
16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action 18 seeking relief
under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On April 18, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and
recommendations 21 herein which were served on plaintiff, and which contained notice to
plaintiff that any 22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within
fourteen 23 days.

Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The Court presumes that
any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United* 25 *States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979).
The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law 26 are reviewed de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*, 48`1
F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) 27 (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed
de novo by both the 28 1 | district court and [the appellate] court....”).

Having reviewed the file, the Court finds 2 | the findings and recommendations to be supported by
the record and by the proper 3 | analysis. 4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 5 1. The
findings and recommendations, filed April 18, 2025, are adopted in full; 6 2. This action is
DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to 7 || comply with court orders,
and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the 8 | January 29, 2025 order; and 9 3.

The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case. 10 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 | Dated: _ June 6,
2025 “Daal J CoO □□□□ Hon. Daniel alabretta 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 15

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/travis-shane-northcutt-v-sacramento-sheriff-s-department-et-5w2et4w0>