

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Travis Shane Northcutt v. Sacramento Sheriff's Department, et al.

Northcutt · No. 2:24-cv-2503-DJC-JDP (P) · Decided June 6, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court dismissed the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim, and directed the clerk to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Daniel J. Calabretta
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	June 6, 2025
DOCKET	2:24-cv-2503-DJC-JDP (P)
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil-rights action after the plaintiff failed to file objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court presumed the magistrate judge's findings of fact were correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's conclusions of law de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Travis Shane Northcutt v. Sacramento Sheriff's Department, et al.

TOPICS

- section 1983
- prisoners rights
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil rights
- civil procedure
- prisoner litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations when plaintiff filed no objections.
2. Whether the action should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court may presume the magistrate judge's findings of fact are correct and reviews the magistrate judge's conclusions of law de novo.
2. The findings and recommendations filed April 18, 2025, were supported by the record and proper analysis and were adopted in full.
3. The action was dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the January 29, 2025 order;” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff was a state prisoner proceeding pro se and sought relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, which determined that dismissal was warranted for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge, who issued findings and recommendations on April 18, 2025. Plaintiff did not object. The district court adopted the findings and recommendations in full, dismissed the action without prejudice, and directed the clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)