

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Reeve v. Synchrony Bank

Reeve · No. 1:24-cv-01458-JLT-EPG · Decided June 17, 2025

OPINION

Reeve v. Synchrony Bank

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 10 WILLIAM REEVE, Case No. 1:24-cv-01458-JLT-EPG 11 Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING
DEFENDANTS

SYNCHRONY BANK AND EQUIFAX

12 v. INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

REGARDING STIPULATION OF DISMISAL

13 SYNCHRONY BANK, et al., (ECF No. 52) 14 Defendants. 15 16 On June 17, 2025, Plaintiff
William Reeve and Defendant Experian Information 17 Solutions, Inc., filed a stipulation of
dismissal with prejudice of the claims against these 18 Defendants under Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 41. However, because Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) 19 requires a stipulation of dismissal to be
“signed by all parties who have appeared,” and only 20 Plaintiff and Defendant Experian
Information Solutions, Inc., have signed the stipulation, the 21 Court will require the remaining
Defendants in the case who have appeared—Defendants 22 Synchrony Bank and Equifax
Information Services, LLC—to submit a filing approving or 23 opposing the stipulation of
dismissal. See *Thacker v. AT&T Corp.*, No. 2:20-CV-00255-KJM- 24 CKD PS, 2021 WL
1784873, at *1 (E.D. Cal. May 5, 2021), report and recommendation 25 adopted, 2021 WL
4168533 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2021) (noting that court was “unaware of any 26 case waiving the
requirement that the stipulation . . . must be made by all parties who have 27 appeared” and that
“[t]he requirement to obtain all parties’ consent to voluntarily dismiss (without 28 a court order) is
intended to ensure that a voluntary dismissal of a party at later stages of the 1 | litigation does not
cause plain legal prejudice to the party to be dismissed or to the remaining 2 | defendant(s)”). 3
Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows: 4 1. By no later than June 27, 2025, Defendants
Synchrony Bank and Equifax Information 5 Services, LLC, shall file a signed document reflecting
their approval of the stipulation of 6 dismissal (ECF No. 52) or file a response opposing the
stipulation of dismissal. 7 2. Alternatively, by no later than June 27, 2025, the parties may file a
stipulation of dismissal 8 signed by all parties who have appeared in this action in compliance

with Rule 9 A4l(a)(1)(A) Gi). 10 | Tr IS SO ORDERED. 11 15 | Dated: _Sune 17, 2025 [sf ey —
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/reeve-v-synchrony-bank-5xbtn8pw>