

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Leena Hannonen; Agnes Bradshaw v. Mark McCloskey, et al.

Hannonen · No. 24-cv-2408-BAS-BLM · Decided October 30, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 LEENA HANNONEN; AGNES Case No. 24-cv-2408-BAS-BLM
BRADSHAW, 12 ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF AGNES Plaintiffs, 13 BRADSHAW TO FILE IFP v.
APPLICATION OR PAY FEE 14 MARK MCCLOSKEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Pending
before the Court is Plaintiff Leena Hannonen’s motion for leave to appeal 18 in forma pauperis
(“IFP”).

Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24, “a party to a 19 district-court action who desires to
appeal in forma pauperis must file a motion in the 20 district court.” Fed. R. App. P. 24. Plaintiff is
self-represented and completed the Ninth 21 Circuit’s Form 4: Motion and Affidavit for
Permission to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. (ECF 22 No. 36-1.) Plaintiff’s motion also included a
Notice of Appeal.

(ECF No. 36.) 23 However, the Court notes that only one Plaintiff who is seeking to appeal
completed 24 the application. The Court lacks information as to whether Plaintiff Agnes
Bradshaw 25 should be permitted to proceed IFP. “Where there are multiple plaintiffs in a single
action, 26 the plaintiffs may not proceed in forma pauperis unless all of them demonstrate
inability 27 to pay the filing fee.” *Tom v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A.*, No. 19-cv-00545-JMS-KJM,
2019 28 WL 13215306, at *1 (D.

Haw. Oct. 31, 2019) (quotation omitted); see also *Anderson v.* 1 || California, No. 10-cv-2216-
MMA-AJB, 2010 WL 4316996, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2010) 2 addition, although only one
filing fee needs to be paid per case, if multiple plaintiffs 3 || seek to proceed in forma pauperis,
each plaintiff must qualify for IFP status.”). “Thus, each 4 ||named plaintiff must submit separate
applications to proceed as a pauper before a court 5 || will grant multiple plaintiffs in forma
pauperis status in one action.” *Remmert v. Newsome*, 6 || No. 23-cv-00050-ADA-HBK, 2023 WL
1806277, at *1 (E.D.

Cal. Jan. 31, 2023). 7 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff Agnes Bradshaw to file an
application to 8 || proceed IFP on or before November 13, 2025. Otherwise, Plaintiff Agnes
Bradshaw will 9 to pay the filing fee to appeal. 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 12 || DATED:
October 30, 2025 (yatta Bahar B H n. Cynthia Bashant, Chief Judge United States District Court
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-ca/2025/leena-hannonen-agnes-bradshaw-v-mark-mccloskey-et-al-5xohe0ti>