

OHIO COURT OF APPEALS, TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

In re M.L.

2016-Ohio-754 (Ohio Ct. App. 2016) · No. CA2015-11-021 · Decided February 29, 2016

SUMMARY

The Twelfth District Court of Appeals of Ohio reviewed an Anders brief in an appeal involving M.L. Counsel asserted that the appeal was wholly frivolous and sought permission to withdraw; after independently reviewing the record, the court found no prejudicial error, granted the motion to withdraw, and dismissed the appeal.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as In re M.L., 2016-Ohio-754.] IN THE COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO PREBLE COUNTY IN THE MATTER OF:: M.L., et al.: CASE NO. CA2015-11-021: DECISION 2/29/2016 APPEAL FROM PREBLE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS JUVENILE DIVISION Case No. AB21530023 Martin P. Votel, Preble County Prosecuting Attorney, Valerie Sargent-Eckert, Preble County Courthouse, 101 East Main Street, Eaton, Ohio 45320, for appellee, Department of Job & Family Services Jane Marshal, Preble County Courthouse, 101 East Main Street, Eaton, Ohio 45320, Court Appointed Special Advocate Mary A. Ditmer-Nelson, 206 Commerce Street, P.O.

Box 28, Lewisburg, Ohio 45338, for appellant, Ma.L. Per Curiam. {¶ 1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Preble County Court of Common Pleas, Juvenile Division, and upon the brief filed by appellant's counsel. Preble CA2015-11-021 {¶ 2} Counsel for appellant, Ma.L., has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct.

1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists three potential errors "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders*, at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant. {¶ 3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly

examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court.

The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous. S. POWELL, P.J., RINGLAND and HENDRICKSON, JJ., concur. -2-