

COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS, TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

In re Thomas Dione Moore

No. 10-26-00126-CR · No. No. 10-26-00126-CR · Decided April 9, 2026

SUMMARY

The Tenth Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed Thomas Dione Moore's petition for want of jurisdiction. The court held that it lacked freestanding mandamus jurisdiction over the Twentieth District Court in Milam County because that court is outside the Tenth Court of Appeals' geographic district.

OPINION

In Re Thomas Dione Moore v. the State of Texas

PER CURIAM.

Court of Appeals Tenth Appellate District of Texas 10-26-00126-CR In re Thomas Dione Moore Original Proceeding JUSTICE HARRIS delivered the opinion of the Court.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Thomas Moore filed a document entitled "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus" in which he seeks mandamus relief relating to a pending proceeding in the 20th District Court in Milam County, Texas. The 20th District Court is located outside the geographic district for the Tenth Court of Appeals. See TEX. GOV'T CODE §22.201(k) (granting this Court jurisdiction over the counties of Bosque, Burleson, Brazos, Coryell, Ellis, Falls, Freestone, Hamilton, Hill, Johnson, Leon, Limestone, Madison, McLennan, Navarro, Robertson, Somervell, and Walker). As such, we are without freestanding writ jurisdiction under Section 22.221(b)(1) to issue a writ of mandamus against the 20th District Court of Milam County because it is outside of the Tenth Court of Appeals District. See In re Jackson, No. 08-20-00026-CV, 2020 WL 562983, 2020 Tex. App. LEXIS 1003 (Tex. App.—El Paso Feb. 5, 2020, no pet.). This petition is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

LEE HARRIS

Justice OPINION DELIVERED and FILED: April 9, 2026 Before Chief Justice Johnson, Justice Smith, and Justice Harris Dismissed Do not publish OT06 In re Moore Page 2