

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Rossmann v. Aldouby

Rossmann · No. 25-cv-07082-CRB (PR) · Decided October 8, 2025

OPINION

Rossmann v. Aldouby

PER CURIAM.

1

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 5 BRUD ROSSMANN, Case No. 25-cv-07082-CRB (PR) 6 Plaintiff,

7 v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL

8 DAVID ALDOUBY, Judge, 9 Defendant(s). 10 While Plaintiff Brud Rossman was incarcerated
at the Maryland Reception, Diagnostic and 11 Classification Center, he filed the instant pro se
action alleging various wrongdoing by Judge 12 || David Albouby of the District Court for
Baltimore City, Maryland, in connection with plaintiff' s

13. || criminal prosecution in Maryland state court. Plaintiff raised the same general allegations of
14 || judicial wrongdoing in at least one prior prisoner complaint which was dismissed with
prejudice. 3 15 || See Rossmann v. Albouby, No. 25-cv-02636-PX (D. Md. Sept. 29, 2025) (order
of dismissal). 16 A prisoner complaint that merely repeats pending or previously litigated claims
may be i 17 || considered abusive and dismissed under the authority of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Cf.
Cato v. United Z 18 || States, 70 F.3d 1103, 1105 n.2 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Bailey v. Johnson, 846
F.2d 1019, 1021 (Sth 19 || Cir. 1988)) (duplicative in forma pauperis complaint may be considered
abusive and dismissed 20 || under 28 U.S.C. § 1915). Because plaintiff raised and litigated the
same general allegations and 71 claims of judicial wrongdoing raised herein in a prior prisoner
complaint that was dismissed with 22 || prejudice, the instant complaint is deemed duplicative and
abusive under § 1915A. 23 This action is DISMISSED as duplicative under the authority of 28
U.S.C. § 1915A(b). 24 The clerk is instructed to close the file. 25 IT ISSO ORDERED. 26 ||
Dated: October 8, 2025

27 AKO

CHARLES R. BREYER

28 United States District Judge