

ELEVENTH COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS

In re Christopher M. Perricone

No. 11-25-00368-CV (Tex. App.—Eastland Dec. 31, 2025) (mem. op.) · No. 11-25-00368-CV · Decided
December 31, 2025

SUMMARY

The Eleventh Court of Appeals dismissed Christopher M. Perricone’s original mandamus petition for want of jurisdiction. The court held that it lacked authority to compel the Palo Pinto County District Clerk to assign a new cause number to Relator’s recusal claim and dismissed all pending motions as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Eleventh Court of Appeals of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	W. Bruce Williams; Bailey, C.J.; Trotter, J.; Williams, J.
JURISDICTION	Eleventh Court of Appeals of Texas
DECIDED	December 31, 2025
DOCKET	11-25-00368-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original mandamus proceeding in which the relator sought to compel the Palo Pinto County District Clerk to assign his recusal claim a new cause number under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code section 30.017(b).
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Mandamus relief requires a clear abuse of discretion and the absence of an adequate remedy by appeal. The relator bears the burden of providing a sufficient record to establish entitlement to relief. The court also independently considered the limits of its original writ jurisdiction.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished memorandum opinion; not designated for publication under Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 47.2(b).
PARTIES	Christopher M. Perricone, pro se relator v. Palo Pinto County District Clerk

TOPICS

appellate jurisdiction

writ of certiorari

appellate procedure

remedies

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Civil procedure

Appellate procedure

Mandamus

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the Eleventh Court of Appeals had original mandamus jurisdiction to compel the Palo Pinto County District Clerk to assign the relator's recusal claim a new cause number.
2. Whether the relator established entitlement to mandamus relief.

HOLDINGS

1. The Eleventh Court of Appeals lacked jurisdiction to issue the requested writ against the Palo Pinto County District Clerk because the relator did not demonstrate that the court's jurisdiction was implicated in another proceeding or that the clerk was a proper party against whom the appellate court could issue a writ under the circumstances.
2. The relator did not establish entitlement to mandamus relief because he failed to satisfy the jurisdictional requirements for the requested writ and did not provide a basis for the court of appeals to grant relief against the district clerk.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Mandamus is an “extraordinary” remedy that is “available only in limited circumstances.”” (1)

“We therefore have no jurisdiction as an intermediate appellate court to grant the relief that Relator seeks against the district clerk.” (2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Christopher M. Perricone sought to have a recusal claim assigned a new cause number under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code section 30.017(b). He alleged that the Palo Pinto County District Clerk had a ministerial duty to assign the claim a new cause number and asked the court of appeals to compel performance of that duty.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Perricone filed an original petition for writ of mandamus in the Eleventh Court of Appeals. The court concluded that it lacked jurisdiction to issue the requested writ against the district clerk and dismissed the petition for want of jurisdiction; it also dismissed all pending motions as moot.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Walker v. Packer, 827 S.W.2d 833, 837, 839–40 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding)
- In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am., 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding)
- In re Cerberus Cap. Mgmt., L.P., 164 S.W.3d 379, 382 (Tex. 2005) (orig. proceeding) (per curiam)
- In re Perricone, No. 11-25-00288-CV, 2025 WL 2980655, at *1 (Tex. App.—Eastland Oct. 23, 2025, orig. proceeding) (mem. op.)
- In re Eller, 672 S.W.3d 194, 194–95 (Tex. App.—Waco 2023, orig. proceeding)
- Tex. Tel. Ass'n v. Pub. Util. Comm'n of Tex., 653 S.W.3d 227, 263 (Tex. App.—Austin 2022, no pet.)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/eleventh-court-of-appeals-of-texas/eleventh-court-of-appeals-of-texas/2025/in-re-christopher-m-perricone-6mja3l74>