

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Moshik Nadav, et al. v. Rio Beauty Lounge, Inc., et al.

Nadav v. Rio Beauty Lounge · No. 2:25-cv-08641-AB (RAOx) · Decided December 11, 2025

OPINION

Moshik Nadav, et al. v. Rio Beauty Lounge, Inc., et al.

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: 2:25-cv-08641-AB (RAOx) Date: December 11, 2025 Title: Moshik Nadav, et al. v. Rio Beauty Lounge, Inc., et al. Present: The Honorable ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge Evelyn Chun N/A Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s): None Appearing None Appearing Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order To Show Cause Re: Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before December 19, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action. ☑ Plaintiff obtained entry of default as to Defendant Rio Beauty Lounge, Inc. (Dkt. 14), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a), but Plaintiff has not sought default judgment, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b). Plaintiff can satisfy this order by seeking default judgment or by notifying the Court that default judgment will not be sought, at which point the clerk will close this matter. IT IS SO ORDERED.