

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF  
FLORIDA, FORT MYERS DIVISION**

**Landaverde-Garcia v. Ripa**

Landaverde-Garcia · No. 2:26-cv-8-KCD-DNF · Decided January 23, 2026

---

**OPINION**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION JESUS HUMBERTO LANDAVERDE-GARCIA, Plaintiff, Case No. 2:26-cv-8-KCD-DNF v. GARRETT RIPA, SECRETARY KRISTI NOEM, PAMELA JO BONDI, SHERIFF DAVID HARDIN, Defendants. / ORDER Plaintiff Jesus Humberto Landaverde-Garcia has filed a habeas corpus petition challenging his detention by U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement.

(Doc. 1.)<sup>1</sup> He claims that the Attorney General is holding him without a bond hearing in violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“INA”), and his continued detention without a hearing contravenes the Fifth Amendment. (Id. at 22-25.) Defendants have responded. (Doc. 8.)<sup>2</sup> For the reasons below, the petition is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. 1 Unless otherwise indicated, all internal quotation marks, citations, case history, and alterations have been omitted in this and later citations.

2 The Court uses the page numbers generated by its electronic filing system for this document. Landaverde-Garcia illegally entered the United States in 2018. (Doc. 1 ¶ 55.) He was recently detained by ICE and is now at Glades Detention Center in the Middle District of Florida. (Id. ¶ 34.) ICE is holding Landaverde-Garcia under 8 U.S.C. § 1225. This matters because aliens detained through § 1225(b)(2) must remain in custody throughout their removal proceedings.

See *Jennings v. Rodriguez*, 583 U.S. 281, 297 (2018) (“[Sections] 1225(b)(1) and (b)(2) thus mandate detention of applicants for admission until certain proceedings have concluded.”). Removal proceedings are underway against Landaverde-Garcia, and he is accused of unlawfully entering the United States. (See Doc. 1.)

The heart of this case is a question of statutory interpretation involving the interplay between 8 U.S.C. §§ 1225 and 1226. According to Landaverde- Garcia, the Attorney General is unlawfully holding him under § 1225(b)(2), which mandates his detention, instead of under § 1226(a)’s discretionary detention scheme, where he could be eligible for release.

As a result, his continued detention without a bond hearing is unconstitutional. As the Government concedes, the Court has already covered this ground and addressed the issues raised by Landaverde-Garcia. See *Hernandez-Lopez v. Hardin, et al.*, No. 2:25-CV-830-KCD-NPM, 2025

WL 3022245 (M.D. Fla. Oct. 29, 2025); *Garcia v. Noem*, No. 2:25-CV-00879-SPC-NPM, 2025 WL 3041895, at \*6 (M.D.

Fla. Oct. 31, 2025). There, the Court was satisfied of its jurisdiction and found that petitioners were being held in violation of their rights under the INA, entitling them to habeas relief. The same result applies here. It is undisputed that Landaverde-Garcia has been in the United States for years. His detention is thus governed by § 1226. And as a noncitizen detained under § 1226, Landaverde-Garcia is entitled to a bond hearing.

See *Jennings*, 583 U.S. at 306 (“Federal regulations provide that aliens detained under § 1226(a) receive bond hearings at the outset of detention.”). Finally, several defendants raise an argument that they are not proper parties (Doc. 8 at 2 n.2), which the Court now rejects as well. See *Sanchez-Penunuri v. Longshore*, 7 F. Supp. 3d 1136, 1150 (D. Colo. 2013); *Masingene v. Martin*, 424 F. Supp. 3d 1298, 1302 (S.D.

Fla. 2020). Landaverde-Garcia seeks a writ of habeas corpus ordering his immediate release from custody. (Doc. 1 at 25.) But that is not something the Court can do. “[Section] 1226(a)(1) grants the executive branch discretion to determine whether to detain or release a noncitizen who is facing removal proceedings.” *Hulke v. Schmidt*, 572 F. Supp. 3d 593, 596 (E.D.

Wis. 2021). Landaverde- Garcia is an alien without lawful status. So he is entitled to a bond hearing under § 1226(a), not immediate release. See, e.g., *Lopez-Arevelo*, No. EP-25-CV- 337-KC, 2025 WL 2691828, at \*12 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 22, 2025). Consistent with the “comfortable majority position,” the Court will instead require Defendants to provide Landaverde-Garcia with the statutory process required under § 1226(a), which includes a bond hearing.

*Id.* One last issue. Landaverde-Garcia’s petition also challenges his detention under the Fifth Amendment. This claim is not addressed “given that the Court [is granting] the relief [Landaverde-Garcia is entitled to] based on its interpretation of the applicability of § 1226(a).” *Pizarro Reyes v. Raycraft*, No. 25-cv-12546, 2025 WL 2609425, at \*8 (E.D. Mich. Sept.

9, 2025). If Defendants do not provide Landaverde-Garcia with a bond hearing as ordered, he can renew his Fifth Amendment claim in a subsequent complaint. For these reasons, Landaverde-Garcia’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.

The Court orders Defendants to provide Landaverde-Garcia with the statutory process required under § 1226, which includes a bond hearing. All other relief is DENIED. The Clerk is directed to terminate any pending motions and deadlines and close the case.<sup>3 3</sup> The Court is aware of a pending California case that certified a class action of aliens who, like Landaverde-Garcia, are in immigration detention and being denied access to a bond hearing.

See *Bautista v. Santacruz*, No. 5:25-CV-01873-SSS-BFM, 2025 WL 3288403 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2025). A final judgment has been issued in *Bautista*, which would ostensibly cover this dispute. Yet neither party seeks to apply *Bautista* here, nor argues its applicability.

In any event, Landaverde-Garcia would seemingly need to return to this jurisdiction to obtain the habeas relief sought. See, e.g., *Alli v. Decker*, 650 F.3d 1007, 1015 (3d Cir. 2011); *J.E.F.M. v. Holder*, 107 F. Supp. 3d 1119, 1144 (W.D. Wash. 2015).

The Court will thus grant Landaverde-Garcia's habeas petition notwithstanding *Bautista*. ORDERED in Fort Myers, Florida on January 28, 2026. Kyle C. Dudek United States District Judge