

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
FLORIDA

Richard Earl Hensarling, Jr. v. Ricky Dixon

No. 3:26-cv-1724-TKW-ZCB (N.D. Fla. Apr. 13, 2026) · No. 3:26-cv-1724-TKW-ZCB · Decided April 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida adopted the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation and dismissed the case without prejudice. The dismissal was based on the plaintiff’s failure to fully disclose his litigation history, and his motion to proceed in forma pauperis was denied as moot.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida                                              |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | T. Kent Wetherell, II                                                                                          |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida                                              |
| DECIDED               | April 13, 2026                                                                                                 |
| DOCKET                | 3:26-cv-1724-TKW-ZCB                                                                                           |
| DISPOSITION           | dismissed                                                                                                      |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The district court reviewed the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation without objection and adopted it. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | unknown                                                                                                        |
| PARTIES               | Richard Earl Hensarling, Jr. v. Ricky Dixon                                                                    |

TOPICS

civil procedure pleadings

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure prisoner civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the action should be dismissed without prejudice under the court's inherent authority because Plaintiff failed to fully disclose his litigation history.

## HOLDINGS

1. A court may dismiss the action without prejudice under its inherent authority when the plaintiff fails to fully disclose his litigation history.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*“This case is DISMISSED without prejudice under the Court’s inherent authority based on Plaintiff’s failure to fully disclose his litigation history.” (2)*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff failed to fully disclose his litigation history. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal on that basis, and Plaintiff filed no objections to the recommendation.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The magistrate judge recommended dismissal based on Plaintiff's failure to fully disclose his litigation history. No objections were filed. The district court adopted the Report and Recommendation, dismissed the case without prejudice, denied Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis as moot, and directed the Clerk to close the case.

## DISPOSITION

dismissed

## CASES CITED

- McNair v. Johnson, 141 F.4th 1301, 1308 (11th Cir. 2025)