

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH  
CAROLINA

Solomon Jackson v. C.J. Olson

Jackson v. Olson · No. 3:25-cv-13416-CMC · Decided March 25, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of South Carolina adopts a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation and dismisses Solomon Jackson’s matter without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The court found no clear error after Plaintiff failed to file objections to the Report.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the District of South Carolina                                                                                                                                 |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Cameron McGowan Currie                                                                                                                                                                          |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the District of South Carolina                                                                                                                                 |
| DECIDED               | March 25, 2026                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| DOCKET                | 3:25-cv-13416-CMC                                                                                                                                                                               |
| DISPOSITION           | dismissed                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Plaintiff filed no objections. |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | In the absence of timely specific objections to a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court reviews the Report for clear error rather than conducting de novo review.    |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | nonprecedential                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| PARTIES               | Solomon Jackson v. C.J. Olson                                                                                                                                                                   |

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- pleadings
- civil rights

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- civil rights

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. What standard of review applies when no party objects to a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation?
2. Whether the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss the matter without prejudice and without issuance and service of process should be adopted.

## HOLDINGS

1. When no timely objection is filed, the district court need only review the Report and Recommendation for clear error on the face of the record rather than conduct de novo review.
2. The court adopted the Report and Recommendation and dismissed the matter without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*“in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” (2)*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The matter arose from Plaintiff's filing of a Notice of Removal accompanied by a Richland County Sheriff's Department incident report concerning a stolen vehicle. The opinion does not describe additional underlying facts or the merits of any claim.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed a Notice of Removal and a Richland County Sheriff's Department incident report concerning a stolen vehicle on November 17, 2025. The matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett, who recommended dismissal without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. After the objection period expired without objections, the district court reviewed the Report for clear error, adopted it, and dismissed the matter.

## DISPOSITION

dismissed

## CASES CITED

- Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976)
- Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Insurance Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005)