

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA**

Solomon Jackson v. C.J. Olson

Jackson v. Olson · No. 3:25-cv-13416-CMC · Decided March 25, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION Solomon Jackson, Civil Action No. 3:25-cv-13416-CMC Plaintiff, vs.
ORDER C.J. Olson, Defendant. This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s “Notice of Removal”
along with a Richland County Sheriff’s Department incident report concerning a stolen vehicle,
filed November 17, 2025.

Dkt. No. 1. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), D.S.C.,
the matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for pre-trial
proceedings. On February 26, 2026, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation
(“Report”) recommending this matter be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and
service of process.

Dkt. No. 17. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing
objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has not filed
objections, and the time in which to do so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no
presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court.
See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976).

The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the
Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in
whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the
Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

The court is required to review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See
Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in
the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but
instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to
accept the recommendation.”) (citation omitted).

After a review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report and Recommendation of the
Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error, and agrees this matter should be dismissed.
Accordingly, the court adopts the Report by reference in this Order. This matter is dismissed

without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. IT IS SO ORDERED. s/Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE Senior United States District Judge Columbia, South Carolina March 25, 2026 2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-south-carol/2026/solomon-jackson-v-c-j-olson-6zrkda80>