

COURT OF APPEALS SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT
TEXARKANA

Markeith Terrell Oliver v. The State of Texas

No. 06-25-00052-CR · No. 06-25-00052-CR · Decided April 8, 2026

SUMMARY

The Sixth Court of Appeals of Texas at Texarkana affirmed Markeith Terrell Oliver’s conviction for attempted tampering with physical evidence. The court held that Oliver’s sole point of error, presented in a consolidated brief, did not relate to this conviction and therefore provided nothing for the court to review.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Scott E. Stevens, Chief Justice; van Cleef, Justice; Rambin, Justice
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
DECIDED	April 8, 2026
DOCKET	06-25-00052-CR
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from a judgment of conviction for attempted tampering with physical evidence.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Markeith Terrell Oliver v. The State of Texas

TOPICS

appellate procedure criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal appellate procedure criminal procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the court of appeals could review a point of error that did not relate to the conviction challenged in this appellate cause number.

HOLDINGS

1. When an appellant's sole point of error does not relate to the conviction in the appellate cause under review, there is nothing for the court to review in that appeal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“That point of error does not relate to his conviction of attempted tampering with physical evidence. Therefore, there is nothing for the Court to review in this case.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A Cass County jury found Markeith Terrell Oliver guilty of attempted tampering with physical evidence. The trial court sentenced him to six months' confinement in a state jail facility. Oliver's consolidated appellate brief raised a point of error that did not relate to that conviction.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

A Cass County jury found Oliver guilty of attempted tampering with physical evidence, and the trial court sentenced him to six months' confinement in a state jail facility. Oliver filed a notice of appeal and later filed a consolidated brief addressing three appellate cause numbers, but his sole point of error concerned a different conviction. The court concluded that there was nothing to review in this appeal and affirmed the judgment.

DISPOSITION

affirmed