

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Amanda Broomes, et al. v. FullBeauty Brands Operations, LLC

Broomes v. FullBeauty Brands Operations, LLC, No. 24-cv-03558-RFL (KAW) (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2025) · No. 24-cv-03558-RFL (KAW) · Decided December 17, 2025

SUMMARY

The court terminated the parties’ September 3, 2025 joint discovery letter concerning Plaintiffs’ interrogatories after resolving Defendant’s motion to compel arbitration. The court ordered the parties to meet and confer regarding supplementation, questioned the scope and proportionality of requested discovery, and noted that certain interrogatories may improperly exceed the applicable limit.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Kandis A. Westmore
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
DECIDED	December 17, 2025
DOCKET	24-cv-03558-RFL (KAW)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The magistrate judge addressed a joint discovery letter concerning Plaintiffs' interrogatories after the presiding judge resolved Defendant's motion to compel arbitration and referred the case for discovery purposes.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- class actions
- arbitration
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the parties' discovery dispute should be resolved through the joint discovery letter or instead through a meet-and-confer process.

2. Whether the proposed interrogatories were potentially overbroad, improperly compound, and beyond the 25-interrogatory limit.
3. Whether discovery should be limited to information relevant to the defined class and proportionate to the needs of the case.

HOLDINGS

1. The court terminated the joint discovery letter and ordered the parties to meet and confer regarding whether Defendant's supplementation would resolve the interrogatory dispute.
2. The court advised that discovery should be evaluated against the class definition and proportionality requirements, and that interrogatories seeking numerous categories of information for each product or each day may be improperly compound and may exceed the 25-interrogatory limit.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"The Court TERMINATES the discovery letter." (at 2)

"Thus, the Court ORDERS the parties to meet and confer as to whether Defendant's supplementation would resolve the discovery dispute." (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs served interrogatories seeking information concerning merchandise offered or sold on Eloquii.com, including multiple categories of information for each product and, in one interrogatory, twelve types of information for each day of the class period. The court noted that the proposed discovery appeared broader than the class definition and potentially compound, and that Defendant had stated it was amenable to supplementing its responses if the litigation proceeded.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties filed a joint discovery letter on September 3, 2025. Defendant objected in part because its motion to compel arbitration was pending. On December 11, 2025, the presiding judge ordered arbitration as to one of the six named Plaintiffs, and the matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Westmore for discovery. The court terminated the discovery letter and directed the parties to meet and confer, with permission to submit a compliant joint discovery letter if they could not resolve the dispute.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *AngioScore, Inc. v. TriReme Med., Inc.*, No. 12-CV-03393-YGR, 2014 WL 7188779 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2014)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-northern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-fo/2025/amanda-broomes-et-al-v-fullbeauty-brands-operations-llc-7358wyu0>