

**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT FORT WORTH**

Cameron Marquette Lewis v. the State of Texas

No. 02-25-00454-CR · No. No. 02-25-00454-CR · Decided January 15, 2026

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

In the Court of Appeals Second Appellate District of Texas at Fort Worth
_____ No. 02-25-00454-CR _____

CAMERON MARQUETTE LEWIS, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS On Appeal from
County Criminal Court No. 9 Tarrant County, Texas Trial Court No. 1844584 Before Sudderth,
C.J.; Kerr and Walker, JJ. Memorandum Opinion by Justice Walker MEMORANDUM OPINION
Appellant Cameron Marquette Lewis, acting pro se, attempts to appeal his conviction for driving
while intoxicated.

See Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 49.04. Pursuant to a plea bargain, Lewis's sentence was imposed on
May 16, 2025. He did not file a motion for new trial, making his notice of appeal due by June 16,
2025. See Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a) (requiring that a notice of appeal be filed within 30 days after
the sentence is imposed or 90 days after the sentence is imposed if the defendant files a motion for
new trial).

However, Lewis did not file his notice of appeal until December 2, 2025, making it untimely. See
Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a)(1). On December 3, 2025, we notified Lewis by letter of our concern that
we lack jurisdiction over this appeal because his notice of appeal was untimely.

We informed him that unless he filed a response by December 15, 2025, showing grounds for
continuing the appeal, the appeal would be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P.
44.3. In response, Lewis filed a motion for extension, see Tex. R. App. P. 26.3 (permitting an
appellate court to extend the time to file a notice of appeal if, within 15 days after the deadline for
filing the notice of appeal, the party files the notice of appeal in the trial court and an extension
motion in the appellate court), but his extension motion was likewise untimely and did not cure
the untimeliness of his notice of appeal, see Tex. R. App.

P. 26.2(a)(1). Because Lewis's appeal was untimely, and because a timely notice of appeal is an
essential component of our jurisdiction, we dismiss this appeal for want of 2 jurisdiction.¹ See
Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a), 43.2(f); Slaton v. State, 981 S.W.2d 208, 210 (Tex. Crim. App. 1998). /s/
Brian Walker Brian Walker Justice Do Not Publish Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b) Delivered: January 15,

2026 1 Even if Lewis’s notice of appeal had been timely, we still would not have jurisdiction over this appeal because he entered into a plea bargain with the State.

See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(d). The signed plea admonishments memorialize the plea bargain, and trial court’s certification states that this “is a plea-bargain case, and the defendant has NO right of appeal.” 3

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-for-the-second-appellate-district-of-texas-at-fort-worth-court-of-appeals-for-the-s/2026/cameron-marquette-lewis-v-the-state-of-texas-787jkma7>