

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

George Jenetopulos v. Henrik Fisker

No. 2:24-cv-09760-FLA (KSx), United States District Court for the Central District of California (March 21,
2025)

OPINION

George Jenetopulos v. Henrik Fisker

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 GEORGE JENETOPULOS, et al., Case No. 2:24-cv-09760-FLA (KSx) 12 Plaintiffs,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY

13 v. ACTION SHOULD NOT BE

CONSOLIDATED WITH STUART

14

EGGERTSEN V. HENRIK FISHER,

15 HENRIK FISHER, et al., CASE NO. 2:25-CV-00236-FLA (KSX)

16 Defendants. 17

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a), a court may consolidate actions involving “a 3 | common
question of law or fact” and has “broad discretion under this rule to 4 | consolidate cases pending
in the same district.” *Jnvs. Rsch. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for 5 | Cent. Dist. of California*, 877 F.2d
777, 777 (9th Cir. 1989); see also *In re Adams 6 | Apple, Inc.*, 829 F.2d 1484, 1487 (9th Cir. 1987)
(courts “may consolidate cases sua 7 | sponte”) (citation omitted). “To determine whether to
consolidate, a court weighs the 8 | interest in judicial convenience against the potential for delay,
confusion, and 9 | prejudice caused by consolidation.” *Paxonet Commc’ns, Inc. v. TranSwitch*
Corp., 10 | 303 F. Supp. 2d 1027, 1028 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (citation omitted). 11 Here, it appears the
benefits of judicial economy and convenience from 12 | consolidating this action with *Stuart*
Eggertsen v. Henrik Fisker, Case No. 2:25-cv- 13 | 00236-FLA (KSx) (“Eggertsen Action”)
outweighs any potential for delay, confusion, 14 | and prejudice, as each action asserts the same
claims against similar defendants. 15 Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE
in writing only 16 | within fourteen (14) days of this Order why this action should not be
consolidated 17 | with the Eggertsen Action. Responses shall be limited to five (5) pages in length.
18 | Failure to respond may result in consolidation of the actions without further notice. 19 20 IT

IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 || Dated: March 21, 2025

3 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA

United States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-cal/2025/george-jenetopulos-v-henrik-fisker-7fhxmzwg>