

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

N.A.M. v. Noem

Civil No. 25-4737 (JRT/EMB) · No. 0:25-cv-04737 · Decided December 29, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota granted N.A.M.’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The court held that the petitioner’s detention was governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), rather than § 1225(b)(2), and ordered respondents to provide a bond hearing within seven days or release him. The court also granted the petitioner’s motion to proceed under a pseudonym and enjoined removal or transfer before the bond hearing.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
WRITING FOR THE COURT	John R. Tunheim
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
DECIDED	December 29, 2025
DOCKET	0:25-cv-04737
DISPOSITION	writ_granted
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner sought a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 challenging his ICE detention without a bond hearing. The Court granted the petition and ordered a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The Court reviewed the habeas petition to determine whether Petitioner's detention was authorized by the applicable immigration-detention statute and whether he was entitled to a bond hearing.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; memorandum opinion and order from a federal district court
PARTIES	N.A.M., Petitioner v. Kristi Noem, Secretary, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Pamela Bondi, U.S. Attorney General, Todd Lyons, Acting Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, David Easterwood, Acting Director, St. Paul Field Office Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Joel Brott, Sheriff of Sherburne County

TOPICS

immigration detention

immigration

removal proceedings

injunctions

remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

immigration law

habeas corpus

federal civil procedure

immigration detention

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the Court had jurisdiction over N.A.M.'s habeas petition challenging his immigration detention.
2. Whether N.A.M.'s detention was governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2), which would require mandatory detention, or by 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), under which he was entitled to a bond hearing.
3. Whether the Court should order a bond hearing and enjoin Petitioner's removal or transfer pending that hearing.

HOLDINGS

1. The Court possesses jurisdiction over N.A.M.'s habeas petition.
2. Because N.A.M. was arrested while already present in the United States and was charged as present without being admitted or paroled, his detention was governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1226, not 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2).
3. N.A.M. was entitled to a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Because the Court concludes that N.A.M. is entitled to a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226, the Court will grant N.A.M.’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus.”

“The factual record before the Court shows that N.A.M. was arrested while already in the United States.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

N.A.M., a citizen of El Salvador, entered the United States without inspection in June 2005. ICE apprehended him on November 3, 2025, while assisting state and local law enforcement with a search warrant at a Minnesota residence where he was living. ICE charged him as removable under INA § 212(a)(6)(A)(i) and § 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I), and detained him at the Sherburne County Jail without providing a bond hearing.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

ICE arrested and detained N.A.M. on November 3, 2025, and served him with a Notice to Appear charging removability. N.A.M. filed a verified habeas petition on December 22, 2025, alleging that his continued detention without a bond hearing was unlawful. After issuing an order to show cause, the Court considered the Government's response and granted the petition on December 29, 2025.

DISPOSITION

writ_granted

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Respondents must provide N.A.M. with a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within seven days. If they fail to do so, N.A.M. must be immediately released. The parties must submit a status update within ten days and advise whether further proceedings are necessary. Respondents are enjoined from removing or transferring N.A.M. from the District of Minnesota before the bond hearing, subject to seeking Court permission in unforeseen or emergency circumstances.

CASES CITED

- *Herrera Avila v. Bondi*, No. 25-3741, 2025 WL 2976539 (D. Minn. Oct. 21, 2025)
- *Romero Santuario v. Bondi*, No. 25-4296, 2025 WL 3469577 (D. Minn. Dec. 2, 2025)

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA N.A.M., Civil No. 25-4737 (JRT/EMB) Petitioner, v. KRISTI NOEM, Secretary, U.S. Department of Homeland Security; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; PAMELA BONDI, U.S. Attorney General; MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION HABEAS CORPUS REVIEW; TODD LYONS, Acting Director of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT; DAVID EASTERWOOD, Acting Director, St. Paul Field Office Immigration and Customs Enforcement; JOEL BROTT, Sheriff of Sherburne County, Respondents.

Mrynna Rutan, AUST SCHMIECHEN, P.A., 825 Nicollet Mall, Suite 623, Minneapolis, MN 55402, for Petitioner. Ana H. Voss, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 600, Minneapolis, MN 55415 for Respondents. Petitioner N.A.M.1 was arrested in Lake Elmo, Minnesota and detained by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) in November 2025.

N.A.M. petitions for a writ of habeas corpus, arguing that he is being detained unlawfully without a bond hearing. Because the Court concludes that N.A.M. is entitled to a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226, the Court will grant N.A.M.’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus. BACKGROUND N.A.M. is a citizen of El Salvador. (Verified Pet. Writ of Habeas Corpus (“Pet.”) ¶ 40, Dec.

22, 2025, Docket No. 1.) He entered the United States without inspection in June 2005. (Id.) On November 3, 2025, ICE apprehended N.A.M. while assisting state and local law enforcement in executing a state search warrant at the residence of an extended family member where N.A.M. was living at the time. (Id. ¶ 41; Decl. of Xiong Lee (“Lee Decl.”) ¶ 5, Dec. 24, 2025, Docket No. 7.)

That same day, ICE served N.A.M. with a Notice to Appear (Form I-862), charging him as removable under § 212(a)(6)(A)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act because he was “an alien present in the United States without being admitted or paroled, or who arrived in the United States at any time or place other than designated by the Attorney 1 Petitioner N.A.M. requests that the Court allow him to proceed using a pseudonym because he is a victim and witness in a state criminal investigation and fears retribution by the perpetrator.

(Mot. Proceed Under Pseudonym, Dec. 22, 2025, Docket No. 2.) Because the Court finds that Petitioner’s need for anonymity outweighs countervailing interests in full disclosure, the Court will grant N.A.M.’s motion to proceed under a pseudonym. General.” (Pet. ¶ 47 & Ex. A, Docket No. 1-2.) He was also charged as removable under § 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I), for not being in possession of a valid entry document.

(Id.) N.A.M. is being detained at the Sherburne County Jail in Elk River, Minnesota. (Id. ¶ 13.) On December 22, 2025, N.A.M. filed a Verified Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Docket No. 1). He alleges that his continued detention without the opportunity to be heard in a bond hearing is unlawful. (Id. ¶¶ 48–58.) Among other things, N.A.M asks the Court to order Respondents to provide N.A.M. with a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within 7 days.

(Id. at 15.) The Court issued an order to show cause, directing the Respondents to submit an answer by December 24, 2025 (Docket No. 5), and the Government did so (Docket No. 6). DISCUSSION Respondents argue that N.A.M. is subject to detention under to 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2), not § 1226, and therefore, he is not entitled to a bond hearing. (Resp. at 3, Dec. 24, 2025, Docket No. 6.)

After thorough review of the parties’ filings, the Court concludes that the legal issues presented by N.A.M.’s habeas petition are subject to the same analysis the Court recently employed in *Herrera Avila v. Bondi*, No. 25-3741, 2025 WL 2976539 (D. Minn. Oct. 21, 2025) and *Romero Santuario v. Bondi*, No. 25-4296, 2025 WL 3469577 (D. Minn, Dec. 2, 2025).

The factual record before the Court shows that N.A.M. was arrested while already in the United States. Indeed, N.A.M.’s Notice to Appear designated him as “present in the United States without being admitted or paroled” under § 212 (a)(6)(A)(i) of the INA. (Pet. ¶ 47 & Ex. A, Docket No. 1-2.)

For the same reasons articulated in *Herrera Avila* and *Romero Santuario*, the Court concludes that it possesses jurisdiction over this petition, and that N.A.M.’s detention is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1226, not § 1225(b)(2).

Accordingly, the Court will order that N.A.M. receive a bond hearing in accordance with § 1226. ORDER Based on the foregoing, and all the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Petitioner N.A.M.’s Verified Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket No.

1] is GRANTED, as follows: a. Petitioner is not subject to mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2), and is instead subject to detention, if at all, pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a); b. Respondents shall provide Petitioner with a bond hearing in accordance with 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within 7 days of the date of this Order, in which the parties will be allowed to present evidence and argument about whether Petitioner is a danger to the community and presents a flight risk if not detained; c. If Respondents do not provide Petitioner with a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) as required herein, Petitioner must be immediately released from detention; and d. Within ten days of the date of this Order, the parties shall provide the Court with a status update concerning the results of any bond hearing conducted pursuant to this Order, or if no bond hearing was held, advise the Court regarding Petitioner's release.

Further, the parties shall advise the Court whether any additional proceedings in this matter are required and submit any proposals for the scope of further litigation. 2. Respondents are ENJOINED from removing, transferring, or otherwise facilitating the removal of Petitioner from the District of Minnesota before the ordered bond hearing. If the immigration judge determines that the Petitioner is subject to the detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), Respondents may request permission from the Court to move Petitioner if unforeseen or emergency circumstances arise, which require Petitioner to be removed from the District.

Any such request must include an explanation for the request as well as a proposed destination. The Court will then determine whether to grant the request and permit transfer of Petitioner. 3. Petitioner's Motion to Proceed Under Pseudonym [Docket No. 2] is GRANTED; 4. Within 3 days of the date of this Order, the parties shall file any requests for redaction.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. DATED: December 29, 2025 KM. (eset at Minneapolis, Minnesota. JOHN R. TUNHEIM United States District Judge -5-