

FLORIDA SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Raci Tasman and Dean Tasman v. Luz M. Jusakos and Yani Jusakos

Tasman v. Jusakos · No. 6D2024-1078 · Decided March 20, 2026

SUMMARY

The Florida Sixth District Court of Appeal affirmed the circuit court's decision in favor of Luz M. Jusakos and Yani Jusakos. The court cited the rule that a corporate member generally must show direct harm and special injury, or a special duty owed to the member, to maintain an individual rather than derivative action.

OPINION

RACI TASMAN, an Individual and DEAN TASMAN, an Individual, Husband and Wife v. LUZ M. JUSAKOS, an Individual and YANI JUSAKOS, an Individual, Husband and Wife
PER CURIAM.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

STATE OF FLORIDA

_____ Case No. 6D2024-1078 Lower Tribunal No. 2015-CA-002393-O _____

RACI TASMAN and DEAN TASMAN,

Appellants, v.

LUZ M. JUSAKOS and YANI JUSAKOS,

Appellees. _____ Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County.

Lisa T. Munyon and Heather Pinder Rodriguez, Judges. March 20, 2026 PER CURIAM.

AFFIRMED. See Iezzi Fam. Ltd. P'ship v. Edgewater Beach Owners Ass'n,

254 So. 3d 584, 586 n.2 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018) (observing that "Florida requires a

direct harm and special injury to a corporation member, or a special duty owed, for a member to maintain an individual action compared to a derivative one" (citing Dinuro Invs., LLC v.

Camacho, 141 So. 3d 731, 738-41 (Fla. 3d DCA 2014))). STARGEL, MIZE and PRATT, JJ.,

concur. Alan B. Taylor, of Alan B. Taylor & Associates, Professional Association, Orlando, for Appellants. Stephen E. Belle, of Stephen E. Belle, P.A., Orlando, for Appellees.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING

AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

2