

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Donnelly v. Commissioner of Social Security

Donnelly · No. 1:21-cv-01117-CDB (SS) · Decided August 21, 2025

OPINION

(SS) Donnelly v. Commissioner of Social Security

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 CHESSY S. DONNELLY, Case No. 1:21-cv-01117-CDB (SS) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ON
STIPULATED REQUEST FOR
AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES

13 v. PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO
JUSTICE ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), AND

14 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, COSTS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1920

15 Defendant. (Doc. 21) 16 17 18 Pending before the Court is the stipulated request of Plaintiff
Chessy S. Donnelly 19 (“Plaintiff”) for the award of attorney fees pursuant to the Equal Access to
Justice Act (“EAJA”), 20 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), in the amount of \$2,311.81 and costs pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 1920, in the 21 amount of \$402.00. (Doc. 21).1 22 The parties agree that an award of
attorney’s fees to counsel for Plaintiff should be made 23 payable to Plaintiff, but if the
Department of the Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe 24 a federal debt, then the
Commissioner shall cause the payment of fees, expenses, and costs to be 25 made directly to
Plaintiff’s counsel, Shellie Lott. Id. at 2. 26 On May 22, 2025, the Court granted Plaintiff’s motion
for summary judgment and 27 remanded the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)
to the Commissioner for 1 further proceedings. (Doc. 19). Judgment was entered the same day.
(Doc. 20). On August 20, 2 2025, Plaintiff filed the pending stipulation for attorney fees as a
prevailing party. (Doc. 21). 3 See *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 300-02 (1993) (concluding
that a party who prevails with 4 a sentence-four remand order under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) is a
prevailing party). Plaintiff’s filing 5 is timely. *Van v. Barnhart*, 483 F.3d 600, 607 (9th Cir. 2007).
The Commissioner does not 6 oppose the requested relief. (Doc. 21). 7 The EAJA provides for an
award of attorney fees to private litigants who both prevail in 8 civil actions (other than tort)
against the United States and timely file a petition for fees. 28 9 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Under
the EAJA, a court shall award attorney fees to the prevailing 10 party unless it finds the

government's position was "substantially justified or that special 11 circumstances make such an award unjust." *Id.* Here, the government did not show its position 12 was substantially justified and the Court finds there are no special circumstances that would make 13 an award unjust. Moreover, the government does not oppose Plaintiff's stipulated request. See 14 *Sanchez v. Berryhill*, No. 1:16-cv-01081-SKO, 2018 WL 509817, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2018) 15 (finding position of the government was not substantially justified in view of the Commissioner's 16 assent to remand); *Knyazhina v. Colvin*, No. 2:12-cv-2726 DAD, 2014 WL 5324302, at 1 (E.D. 17 Cal. Oct. 17, 2014) (same). 18 Plaintiff requests an award of \$2,311.81 in EAJA fees and \$402.00 in costs. (Doc. 21). 19 The Ninth Circuit maintains a list of the statutory maximum hourly rates authorized by the EAJA, 20 adjusted for increases in the cost of living, on its website.² Even assuming Plaintiff's counsel 21 seeks the median of the published maximum rate associated with the relevant years (2021 and 22 2022) during which she engaged in the majority of her services in this case (which the Court 23 computes as \$226.25), the requested award would amount to approximately 10 hours of attorney 24 time (not accounting for any paralegal time expended). The Court finds this reasonable and 25 commensurate with the number of hours an attorney would need to have spent reviewing the 26 certified administrative record in this case (approximately 888 pages; Doc. 8) and preparing a 27 motion for summary judgment that presented approximately eight pages of argument. (Doc. 11 1 | at 12-20). With respect to the results obtained, Plaintiff's counsel obtained a favorable judgment 2 | remanding the case for further proceedings. (Docs. 19, 20). 3 Under the EAJA, the Court may award a judgment of costs to the prevailing party. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a)(1) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1920). Though the parties do not discuss in their 5 | stipulation the basis for the costs requested, the Court notes \$402.00 was the initial filing fee for 6 | this action. See (Doc. 1) ("Filing fee \$ 402"). Recoverable costs under the governing statute 7 | include, among other things, court filing fees. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920; *Armstrong v. Astrue*, No. 8 | CIV-S-07-1456-DAD, 2008 WL 2705023, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Jul. 9, 2008) (granting prevailing 9 | plaintiff's request for reimbursement of filing fee). 10 EAJA fees, expenses, and costs are subject to any offsets allowed under the Treasury Offset 11 | Program ("TOP"), as discussed in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586 (2010). If the Commissioner 12 | determines upon effectuation of this order that Plaintiff's EAJA fees are not subject to any offset 13 | allowed under the TOP, the fees shall be delivered or otherwise transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel. 14 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED: 15 1. The parties' stipulated request for attorney's fees pursuant to the EAJA (Doc. 21) is 16 GRANTED. 17 2. The Commissioner is directed to pay to Plaintiff as the prevailing party attorney's fees in 18 the amount of \$2,311.81, pursuant to the terms set forth in the parties' stipulation. (Doc. 19 21). Fees shall be made payable to Plaintiff, but if the Department of the Treasury 20 determines that Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt, then the government shall cause the 21 payment of fees, expenses, and costs to be made directly to Plaintiff's counsel, as set forth 22 in the stipulation. 23 3. Plaintiff is awarded \$402.00 in costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1920. 24 [T IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _ August 21,

2025 | Wr bo

26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/donnelly-v-commissioner-of-social-security-7sg0dt28>