

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA, PANAMA CITY DIVISION

Victor Wade Hicks v. Joseph Floyd, et al.

Hicks · No. 5:26-cv-46-TKW-MJF · Decided March 20, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida dismissed Victor Wade Hicks’s case without prejudice because he failed to fully disclose his litigation history. The court adopted the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation, directed entry of judgment, and ordered the case closed.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Panama City Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	T. Kent Wetherell, II
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Panama City Division
DECIDED	March 20, 2026
DOCKET	5:26-cv-46-TKW-MJF
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal. No objections were filed.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court reviewed the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after no objections were filed; the order states that the court considered the recommendation and case file and agreed with the magistrate judge's determination.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Victor Wade Hicks v. Joseph Floyd, et al.

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- pleadings

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the action should be dismissed without prejudice under the court's inherent authority because Plaintiff failed to fully disclose his litigation history.

HOLDINGS

1. A district court may dismiss the action without prejudice under its inherent authority when the plaintiff fails to fully disclose his litigation history as required.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"This case is DISMISSED without prejudice under the Court's inherent authority based on Plaintiff's failure to fully disclose his litigation history." (2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff brought this federal civil-rights action but failed to fully disclose his litigation history as required. The court relied on that disclosure failure as the basis for dismissal.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal based on Plaintiff's failure to fully disclose his litigation history. The district court adopted and incorporated the recommendation, dismissed the case without prejudice under its inherent authority, directed entry of judgment, and closed the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *McNair v. Johnson*, 141 F.4th 1301, 1308 (11th Cir. 2025)

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PANAMA CITY DIVISION VICTOR WADE HICKS, Plaintiff, v. Case No. 5:26-cv-46-TKW-MJF JOSEPH FLOYD, et al., Defendants. / ORDER This case is before the Court based on the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 5). No objections were filed. Upon due consideration of the Report and Recommendation and the case file, the Court agrees with the magistrate judge's determination that this case should be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to fully disclose his litigation history as required.

See McNair v. Johnson, 141 F.4th 1301, 1308 (11th Cir. 2025). Accordingly, it is ORDERED that: 1. The magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is adopted and incorporated by reference in this Order. 2. This case is DISMISSED without prejudice under the Court's inherent authority based on Plaintiff's failure to fully disclose his litigation history. 3.

The Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this Order and close the case file. DONE AND ORDERED this 20th day of March, 2026. Fo lo aol © T. KENT WETHERELL, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Page 2 of 2