

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Clifford C. Loyer et al. v. Los Angeles Sheriff's Department et al.

Loyer · No. 2:23-cv-1580-FWS-RAO · Decided March 6, 2023

OPINION

Clifford C. Loyer v. Los Angeles Sheriffs Dept.

PER CURIAM.

Case 2:23-cv-01580-FWS-RAO Document 4 Filed 03/06/23 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 2:23-cv-1580-FWS-RAO Date: March 6, 2023 Title: Clifford C. Loyer et al. v. Los Angeles Sheriff's Department et al.

Present: HONORABLE FRED W. SLAUGHTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Melissa H. Kunig N/A Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys

Present for Defendants: Not Present Not Present

PROCEEDINGS: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Before the court is Plaintiffs Clifford C. Loyer, Ricardo Torres, Daniel Penaloza, Oscar Madrigal, Grorige Roy Stephenson, Chavncey Mamilton, Thomas Mathis, Juan Tapia, Franklin Fouthier, Robert Arballo, Omar Winston, James Buster, Edgar Avila, Jerriel Sanders, Marshal Silva, Adrian Rios, and Hakoup Sardareyan's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") "Civil Rights Complaint." (Dkt. 1 ("Complaint or Compl.")). As a preliminary matter, the court notes that Plaintiffs have not: (1) paid the civil filing fee; or (2) filed the CV-60P form and declaration required to proceed in forma pauperis. (See generally Dkt.) As stated by the Clerk of Court, (Dkt. 2), Plaintiffs must pay the filing fee or submit the appropriate forms within thirty (30) days or their case may be dismissed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629 (1962) ("The authority of a federal trial court to dismiss a plaintiffs action with prejudice because of his failure to prosecute cannot seriously be doubted."); *Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 403 F.3d 693, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[C]ourts may dismiss under Rule 41(b) sua sponte, at least under certain circumstances."); *Ash v. Cvetkov*, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984) ("It is within the inherent power of the court to sua sponte dismiss a case for lack of prosecution."). In addition, the court observes the Complaint alleges Plaintiff Clifford C. Loyer represents this prisoner class action pro se. (See Compl. at 3.) However, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, "[a] pro se litigant may not serve as the representative of a class." *Khalid v.*

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL 1

Case 2:23-cv-01580-FWS-RAO Document 4 Filed 03/06/23 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 2:23-cv-1580-FWS-RAO Date: March 6, 2023 Title: Clifford C. Loyer et al. v. Los Angeles Sheriff's Department et al. Microsoft Corp., 409 F. Supp. 3d 1023, 1031 (W.D. Wash. 2019); Reed v. Bd. of Prison Terms, 2003 WL 21982471, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2003) ("Pro se prisoner plaintiffs may not bring class actions because they are not adequate class representatives able to fairly represent and adequately protect the interests of the class."); Welch v. Terhune, 11 Fed. App'x 747, 747 (9th Cir. 2001) ("The district court properly determined that [plaintiff], proceeding pro se, could not prosecute the instant action as a class action."); Simon v. Hartford Life, Inc., 546 F.3d 661, 664 (9th Cir. 2008) ("It is well established that the privilege to represent oneself pro se provided by § 1654 is personal to the litigant and does not extend to other parties or entities.") (citing McShane v. United States, 366 F.2d 286, 288 (9th Cir. 1966)); C.E. Pope Equity Tr. v. United States, 818 F.2d 696, 697 (9th Cir. 1987) (stating a non-attorney "has no authority to appear as an attorney for others than himself"). Accordingly, because Plaintiff Loyer may not represent the class pro se, the court ORDERS Plaintiff Loyer to SHOW CAUSE in writing by March 24, 2023, why the Complaint should not be dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff Loyer, or any other plaintiff, filing a new action solely on their own behalf. IT IS SO ORDERED. Initials of Deputy Clerk: mku

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL 2