

SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

Lissa Snyder v. Julius Stephen Logan d/b/a Logan Insurance Agency and Nationwide Assurance Company

No. 2003-IA-01504-SCT (Miss. Jan. 6, 2005) · No. No. 2003-IA-01504-SCT · Decided June 9, 2003

SUMMARY

The Mississippi Supreme Court reviewed an interlocutory appeal concerning whether Jefferson County was a proper venue for claims arising from an insurer's denial of coverage after a motor-vehicle accident. The court held that venue was proper in Jefferson County because the accident and resulting personal injury and property damage occurred there, even though other defendants or relevant insurance activities were located in other counties. The court reversed the change-of-venue order and remanded the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Mississippi
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Randolph, Justice; Cobb, P.J.; Dickinson, J.; Randolph, J.
JURISDICTION	Mississippi
DECIDED	June 9, 2003
DOCKET	No. 2003-IA-01504-SCT
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Snyder filed an interlocutory appeal under Mississippi Rule of Appellate Procedure 5 from the circuit court's order granting the defendants' motion to change venue.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	An order granting a change of venue is reviewed for abuse of discretion and will not be disturbed unless the trial court clearly abused its discretion or failed to exercise its discretion justly and properly.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published Mississippi Supreme Court opinion
PARTIES	Lissa Snyder v. Julius Stephen Logan d/b/a Logan Insurance Agency, Nationwide Assurance Company

TOPICS

[venue](#)[interlocutory appeal](#)[appellate procedure](#)[insurance](#)[civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

insurance

appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether venue was proper in Jefferson County under Mississippi's general venue statute when the accident, personal injury, and property damage occurred there.
2. Whether the trial court abused its discretion by granting a change of venue from Jefferson County to Adams, Warren, or Rankin County.

HOLDINGS

1. Venue was proper in Jefferson County because the accident and resulting personal injury and property damage occurred there, constituting a substantial component of Snyder's claims.
2. The order changing venue from Jefferson County was erroneous and was reversed.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“ “[T]here is nothing in the phrase ‘where the cause of action may occur ...’ that limits the judicial search for but a single county... [I]t is common experience that breach and causation and impact do not all always happen at once. At the very least, the word occur connotes each county in which a substantial component of the claim takes place...” (5)

“ “It is well established that the plaintiff is entitled to choose between any of the permissible venue options where credible evidence or factual basis supports the venue selected.”” (6)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Snyder, a Jefferson County resident, purchased automobile insurance through the Logan Agency in Adams County. Her policy was cancelled for nonpayment, but after she paid an additional amount and contacted Nationwide, the agency issued an insurance card indicating coverage. Snyder was involved in a one-car accident in Jefferson County the next day, Nationwide denied coverage, and she filed suit alleging misrepresentation, negligence, breach of contract, and bad faith.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Snyder sued Logan and Nationwide in Jefferson County Circuit Court for claims arising from Nationwide's denial of coverage after a one-vehicle accident. The circuit court held that venue was proper only in Adams, Warren, and Rankin Counties and granted a change of venue. After denying Snyder's motions to alter or amend and to reconsider or seek interlocutory appeal, the court deferred transfer pending appellate review; the Mississippi Supreme Court granted permission for the interlocutory appeal.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The case was remanded to the Jefferson County Circuit Court for further proceedings consistent with the opinion.

CASES CITED

- Flight Line, Inc. v. Tanksley, 608 So. 2d 1149, 1155, 1157 (Miss. 1992)
- Stubbs v. Mississippi Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co., 825 So. 2d 8 (Miss. 2002)
- Guice v. Miss. Life Ins. Co., 836 So. 2d 756, 758 (Miss. 2003)
- Capital City Insurance Co. v. G.B. “Boots” Smith Corp., No. 2002-CA-01896-SCT, 2004 WL 2403939 (Miss. Oct. 28, 2004)
- Earwood v. Reeves, 798 So. 2d 508, 513 (Miss. 2001)
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Johnson, 807 So. 2d 382, 387 (Miss. 2001)

OPINION

Lissa Snyder v. Julius Stephen Logan

PER CURIAM.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

NO. 2003-IA-01504-SCT

LISSA SNYDER

v.

JULIUS STEPHEN LOGAN d/b/a LOGAN

INSURANCE AGENCY AND NATIONWIDE

ASSURANCE COMPANY

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 06/09/2003

TRIAL JUDGE: HON. LAMAR PICKARD

COURT FROM WHICH APPEALED: JEFFERSON COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT: CARROLL RHODES

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEES: DORRANCE AULTMAN

WILLIAM HEATH HILLMAN

NATURE OF THE CASE: CIVIL - INSURANCE

DISPOSITION: REVERSED AND REMANDED - 01/06/2005

MOTION FOR REHEARING FILED: MANDATE ISSUED: BEFORE COBB, P.J., DICKINSON AND RANDOLPH, JJ. RANDOLPH, JUSTICE, FOR THE COURT: ¶1. Lissa Snyder (“Snyder”) filed a complaint in the Jefferson County Circuit Court against Julius Stephen Logan d/b/a/ Logan Insurance Agency and Nationwide Assurance Company. The causes of action included fraudulent and negligent misrepresentation, simple and gross negligence, breach of contract and bad faith. The complaint followed Nationwide’s denial of coverage after she was in a one-vehicle accident. ¶2. The trial court ruled that proper venue only lay in Adams, Warren, and

Rankin Counties and granted the defendants' motion for change of venue. Snyder filed a motion to alter or amend and a motion to reconsider or enter an order granting interlocutory appeal. The trial court denied her motions, but deferred entry of its order transferring venue pending a ruling on her petition for interlocutory appeal. This Court granted permission to proceed with her interlocutory appeal. See M.R.A.P. 5.

FACTS

¶3. Snyder, a Jefferson County resident, purchased Nationwide auto insurance from the Logan Agency, located in Natchez (Adams County), in December 2000. Nationwide is a foreign insurance company (Wisconsin) with a registered agent for process in Rankin County. The owner of the Logan Agency, Julius Stephen Logan, a resident of Jefferson County, passed away on December 28, 2001. As a result, on January 2, 2002, Logan's book of insurance and agency were transferred to Dan E. Muirhead, a Nationwide agent in Vicksburg (Warren County). ¶4. It is undisputed that her policy was cancelled on December 16, 2001, by Nationwide due to her failure to pay premiums. Subsequently, Snyder received a bill from Nationwide stating that Snyder owed an additional \$64 for past coverage. On January 3, 2002, Snyder traveled to the agency in Natchez and paid the outstanding \$64. Snyder claims that shortly afterwards she called Nationwide's toll-free number to inquire as to why she had to pay the \$64. She claims that she was informed that the payment was for reinstatement of the policy and that the agency in Natchez needed to first verify payment before reinstatement. 2 ¶5. On January 7, 2002, Snyder returned to the Natchez agency and informed the employee there about the telephone conversation with Nationwide. The employee contacted Nationwide and subsequently issued Snyder an insurance card indicating that she was covered from January 7, 2002, through February 7, 2002. ¶6. On January 8, 2002, Snyder and her brother were involved in a single-car accident in Jefferson County. Subsequently, Nationwide denied her claim, and she filed the instant case on February 19, 2002. After the case was removed to and remanded from the federal court, the defendants filed a motion for change of venue and to dismiss Julius Stephen Logan. The trial court granted the change of venue but deferred consideration of the motion to dismiss.

ANALYSIS

¶7. The trial court ruled that Jefferson County was not a proper venue because the underlying accident is not part of the claims on which the complaint is based and that all claims pertain to alleged misrepresentations made by Nationwide and the Muirhead Agency. ¶8. On appeal, Snyder argues that the trial court abused its discretion and that venue was proper in Jefferson County for several reasons. First, her loss occurred there. Second, Logan was domiciled there. Third, the alleged misrepresentations were made to her over the phone while she was at her home there. Snyder agrees with the trial court that venue would also be proper in Adams, Warren and Rankin Counties and notes that "[o]f right, the plaintiff selects among the permissible venues, and [her] choice must be sustained unless in the end there is no credible evidence supporting the factual basis for the claim of venue." *Flight Line, Inc. v. Tanksley*, 608 So. 2d 1149, 1155 (Miss. 1992).

Snyder also relies on *Stubbs v. Mississippi Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co.*, 825 So. 2d 8 (Miss. 2002), for her choice of venue. 3 ¶9. “An application for change of venue is addressed to the discretion of the trial judge, and his ruling thereon will not be disturbed on appeal unless it clearly appears that there has been an abuse of discretion or that the discretion has not been justly and properly exercised under the circumstances.” *Guice v. Miss. Life Ins. Co.*, 836 So. 2d 756, 758 (Miss. 2003) (citations omitted). Is Venue Proper in Jefferson County? ¶10. At the time this lawsuit was commenced, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-71 provided that actions against insurance companies may be brought in any county in which a loss may occur. Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-3 was amended in 2002. (See 2002 Miss. Laws, 3rd Extra Sess., ch. 2, § 1, eff. January 1, 2003; 2002 Miss. Laws, 3rd Extra Sess., ch. 4 § 1, eff. January 1, 2003.) However, at the time this lawsuit was commenced, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-3 stated: Civil actions of which the circuit court has original jurisdiction shall be commenced in the county in which the defendant or any of them may be found or in the county where the cause of action may occur or accrue and, if the defendant is a domestic corporation, in the county in which said corporation is domiciled or in the county where the cause of action may occur or accrue. ¶11. In *Capital City Insurance Co. v. G.B. “Boots” Smith Corp.*, No. 2002-CA-01896-SCT, 2004 WL 2403939 (Miss. Oct. 28, 2004), we recently examined both statutes and held the general venue statute, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-3, to be mandatory, while the insurance venue statute, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-7, is permissive. 2004 WL 2403939 at *12 (¶35). *Capital City* further held that, “[t]hus, where there is a resident defendant, the general venue statute ‘shall’ apply; and where there is no resident defendant, the insurance company venue statute 1 Repealed by H.B. 19, 2002 Miss. Laws, 3rd Extra Sess., effective January 1, 2003. 4 offers the plaintiff other options.” *Id.* Because there is a resident defendant (Logan Insurance Agency) in the case sub judice, the general venue statute, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-11-3, shall apply. ¶12. This Court considered both § 11-11-7 and § 11-11-3 in *Stubbs*. In *Stubbs*, this Court held that the Circuit Court of Smith County abused its discretion in granting a change of venue to Simpson County. 825 So. 2d at 9. The *Stubbses* filed suit in the Smith County Circuit Court against Welch, Welch’s dealership, Trustmark, and Farm Bureau alleging several causes of action including breach of contract and negligence. *Id.* The wreck occurred in Smith County but John was hospitalized in Simpson County. *Id.* The *Stubbses* and Welch were residents of Simpson County. Trustmark’s principal place of business was in Hinds County, but its branch in Simpson County handled the transaction. *Id.* 11. Farm Bureau’s principal place of business was in Hinds County, but A.W. spoke to and conducted business with the Smith County office. ¶13. The *Stubbses* claimed that Farm Bureau’s refusal to cover property damage was bad faith. *Id.* at 13. Because coverage was limited to liability, this Court held that there could not be a bad faith claim for failure to pay an uncovered claim. *Id.* Accordingly, this Court dismissed Farm Bureau and held venue could not be established under § 11-11-7. However, this Court went on to declare that venue was proper in Smith County, under §11-11-3, because the wreck occurred there. ¶14. In holding that venue was proper in Smith County, this Court stated in *Stubbs* that, “the actions of [the

defendants] Welch , Auto Plex, and Trustmark, were relatively harmless until the accident occurred, which necessitated reliance on the defendants' alleged guarantee, as 5 averred by the Stubbses, that the Stubbses' vehicle would be insured until they were covered by their own insurance." Id. at 15. ¶15. Following this Court's precedent, venue in the case sub judice is also proper where the accident occurred; i.e. Jefferson County. Here, as in Stubbs, the actions of the defendants were also harmless until the accident occurred in Jefferson County. "[T]here is nothing in the phrase 'where the cause of action may occur ...' that limits the judicial search for but a single county... [I]t is common experience that breach and causation and impact do not all always happen at once. At the very least, the word occur connotes each county in which a substantial component of the claim takes place..." Earwood v. Reeves, 798 So. 2d 508, 513 (Miss. 2001), quoting Flight Line, Inc. v. Tanksley, 608 So. 2d at 1157. ¶16. The trial court was correct in holding that venue was proper in Adams, Warren, and Rankin Counties but erred in finding that venue was not proper in Jefferson County. But for the accident, which occurred in Jefferson County, no injury would have occurred and no suit would have been filed. Venue is proper in Jefferson County because a substantial component of the claim; personal injury and property damage, occurred there. "It is well established that the plaintiff is entitled to choose between any of the permissible venue options where credible evidence or factual basis supports the venue selected." Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Johnson, 807 So. 382, 387 (Miss. 2001). Therefore, the plaintiff's choice of venue in Jefferson County must be sustained. Because this issue is determinative, the other issues raised by Snyder are not discussed.

CONCLUSION

6 ¶17. For these reasons, we reverse the change of venue order and remand this case to the Circuit Court of Jefferson County for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. ¶18. REVERSED AND REMANDED.

WALLER AND COBB, P.JJ., EASLEY, CARLSON AND DICKINSON, JJ.,
CONCUR. SMITH, C.J., DIAZ AND GRAVES, JJ., NOT PARTICIPATING. 7