

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF  
TEXAS, HOUSTON DIVISION

Jasmine Robertson v. TrueAccord Corp., et al.

Robertson · No. 4:25-CV-02816 · Decided March 10, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas adopted two magistrate judge memoranda and recommendations after finding no clear error and receiving no objections. The court granted TrueAccord Corporation's motions to dismiss and for summary judgment, dismissing the claims against it with prejudice, and granted January Technologies, Inc.'s motion to dismiss the TCPA claim while allowing the FDCPA claims to proceed.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Alfred H. Bennett                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| DECIDED               | March 10, 2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| DOCKET                | 4:25-CV-02816                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| DISPOSITION           | other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The district court reviewed two magistrate judge memoranda and recommendations after the deadline for objections expired without objections. The court reviewed the recommendations for clear error, adopted them in their entirety, and ruled on defendants' motions to dismiss and a converted motion for summary judgment. |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | Clear-error review under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) because no objections were filed to the magistrate judge's memoranda and recommendations.                                                                                                                                                                      |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | Unknown; district court order with no stated precedential status.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

TOPICS

- motions to dismiss
- summary judgment
- fair debt collection
- civil procedure

## PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

consumer protection

fair debt collection

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's memoranda and recommendations after reviewing them for clear error under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).
2. Whether TrueAccord Corporation's motion to dismiss and converted motion for summary judgment should be granted.
3. Whether January Technologies, Inc.'s motion to dismiss should be granted in part as to the TCPA claim while denied as to the FOCPA claims.

## HOLDINGS

1. Because no objections were filed, the district court reviewed the memoranda and recommendations for clear error, found none, and adopted them in their entirety.
2. TrueAccord Corporation's motion to dismiss and converted motion for summary judgment were granted, and all of Robertson's claims against TrueAccord were dismissed with prejudice.
3. January Technologies, Inc.'s motion to dismiss was granted in part: it was granted as to the TCPA claim and denied as to the FOCPA claims. Robertson's FDCPA claims against January remained pending.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*"Finding no clear error, the Court adopts both Memoranda and Recommendations in their entirety."*

*"All pro se Plaintiff's claims against TrueAccord are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE."*

*"Finally, pro se Plaintiff Jasmine Robertson's claims for violations of the FDCPA against Defendant January Technologies, Inc. (Doc. #4 at 6-9) remain pending."*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Jasmine Robertson asserted claims against TrueAccord Corporation and January Technologies, Inc., including claims under the FDCPA, FOCPA, and TCPA. The district court adopted recommendations resolving the defendants' motions, dismissing all claims against TrueAccord with prejudice, dismissing Robertson's TCPA claim against January, and leaving the FDCPA claims against January pending.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan filed memoranda and recommendations on February 18 and 19, 2026. No objections were filed. The district court found no clear error, adopted both recommendations, granted TrueAccord Corporation's motion to dismiss and converted motion for summary judgment, dismissed the claims against TrueAccord with prejudice, and partially granted January Technologies, Inc.'s motion to dismiss.

## DISPOSITION

other

#### CASES CITED

- United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989)

#### OPINION

Robertson

PER CURIAM.

□ Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

March 10, 2026 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

JASMINE ROBERTSON, §

§ Plaintiff, § §

VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:25-CV-02816

§ TRUEACCORD CORP, ef al, § § Defendants. §

ORDER

Before the Court are United States Magistrate Judge Christina A, Bryan's Memoranda and Recommendations filed on February 18, 2026 (Doc. #38) and February 19, 2026 (Doc. #39). The deadline for filing objections has passed, and no objections have been filed. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), the Court has reviewed both Memoranda and Recommendations for clear error. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). Finding no clear error, the Court adopts both Memoranda and Recommendations in their entirety. Accordingly, Defendant TrueAccord Corporation's ("TrueAccord") Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #8) is GRANTED. Further, TrueAccord's converted motion for summary judgment (see Doc. #33) is GRANTED. All pro se Plaintiff's claims against TrueAccord are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to terminate Defendant TrueAccord Corporation from the docket. Furthermore, Defendant January Technologies, Inc.'s ("January") Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #9) is hereby GRANTED IN PART. January's Motion to Dismiss is DENIED as to the FOCPA claims, and GRANTED as to the TCPA claim. Finally, pro se Plaintiff Jasmine Robertson's claims for violations of the FDCPA against Defendant January Technologies, Inc. (Doc. #4 at 6-9) remain pending. It is so ORDERED. MAR 1.0 2026 | t Date The Honorable Alfred H. Bennett United States District Judge