

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

Andy Alex Ore-Falcon v. Wofford, et al.

Andy Alex Ore-Falcon v. Wofford, No. 1:26-cv-00181-WBS-EFB · No. No. 1:26-cv-00181-WBS-EFB ·  
Decided January 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ordered Respondents to oppose Petitioner’s motion for a temporary restraining order by noon on January 14, 2026. The court directed Respondents to address whether Petitioner is a member of the Maldonado Bautista class and whether the government is bound by the judgment in that case, after which the motion would be taken under submission.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                                                                                              |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | William B. Shubb                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                                                                                              |
| DECIDED               | January 12, 2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| DOCKET                | No. 1:26-cv-00181-WBS-EFB                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| DISPOSITION           | other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | Petitioner moved for a temporary restraining order. The court ordered respondents to file an opposition addressing specified arguments and took the motion under submission pending notice of any need for oral argument or further proceedings. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | nonprecedential                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| PARTIES               | Andy Alex Ore-Falcon v. Wofford, et al.                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

TOPICS

[injunctions](#) [civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[civil procedure](#) [remedies](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether respondents should be required to address petitioner's contention that he is a member of the Maldonado Bautista class.
- 2. Whether respondents should be required to address petitioner's contention that the government is bound by the judgment entered in Maldonado Bautista v. Noem.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Respondents are ORDERED to file their opposition to Petitioner’s Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Docket No. 2) by noon on January 14, 2026.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Petitioner sought a temporary restraining order. His motion argued that he was a member of the Maldonado Bautista class and that the government was bound by the judgment entered in Maldonado Bautista v. Noem.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Andy Alex Ore-Falcon filed a petition and moved for a temporary restraining order. Before ruling on the motion, the district court ordered respondents to respond by noon on January 14, 2026, and stated that it would then take the motion under submission.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Maldonado Bautista v. Noem, No. 5:25-cv-01873 SSS BFM, 2025 WL 367485, at \*1 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2025)

OPINION

Wofford

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ----oo0oo---- 11 12

ANDY ALEX ORE-FALCON, No. 1:26-cv-00181-WBS-EFB

13 Petitioner, 14 v. ORDER 15 WOFFORD, et al., 16 Respondents. 17 18 ----oo0oo---- 19

Respondents are ORDERED to file their opposition to 20 Petitioner's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Docket No. 21 2) by noon on January 14, 2026. In their response, Respondents

22 are ORDERED to address Petitioner’s arguments that (1) he is a 23 member of the Maldonado  
Bautista class, and (2) the government is 24 bound by the judgment the court entered in  
Maldonado Bautista v. 25 Noem, No. 5:25-cv-01873 SSS BFM, 2025 WL 367485, at \*1 (C.D.  
Cal. 26 Dec. 18, 2025). 27 The court will then take the motion under submission 28 nee ete ne nn  
non nnn nn neo nnn nn OE OS IES and will inform the parties if oral argument or further  
proceedings are necessary. IT IS SO ORDERED . \* | pated: January 12, 2026 a hho A hh  
5 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28