

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Rita Bailey v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceutical, Inc., et al.

No. 2:25-cv-01384-FMO-ADS, United States District Court for the Central District of California (September 25, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the action without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the parties had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the parties 45 days to reopen the case for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	September 25, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01384-FMO-ADS
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The action was dismissed without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the case had settled.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential district court order
PARTIES	Rita Bailey v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceutical, Inc., et al.

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Civil procedure Settlement dismissal

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the settled action should be dismissed without prejudice while allowing the parties to reopen it if the settlement was not consummated.

HOLDINGS

1. The court dismissed the settled action without costs and without prejudice, retaining jurisdiction and allowing reopening for good cause within 45 days if the settlement was not consummated.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Counsel advised the district court that the action had been settled. The court therefore dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice, subject to reopening for good cause within 45 days if the settlement was not consummated.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties reached a settlement during the pendency of the federal action. Upon being advised by counsel that the action had settled, the district court dismissed the case without prejudice, while retaining jurisdiction to permit reopening if the settlement was not consummated.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)