

SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA

In re: State Question No. 805, Initiative Petition No. 421

2020 OK 45 · No. 118774 · Decided May 26, 2020

OPINION

IN RE: STATE QUESTION NO. 805 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421

2020 OK 45

PER CURIAM.

IN RE: STATE QUESTION NO. 805 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421

[Skip to Main Content](#) [Accessibility Statement](#) [Help](#) [Contact Us](#) [e-payments](#) [Careers](#) [Home](#) [Courts](#) [Decisions](#) [Programs](#) [News](#) [Legal](#) [Research](#) [Court Records](#) [Quick Links](#) [OSCN](#) [Found Document](#): IN RE: STATE QUESTION NO. 805 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421 [Previous Case](#) [Top Of Index](#) [This Point in Index](#) [Citationize](#) [Next Case](#) [Print Only](#) IN RE: STATE QUESTION NO. 805 INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421 2020 OK 45 Case Number: 118774 Decided: 05/26/2020 THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA Cite as: 2020 OK 45, __ P.3d __ NOTICE: THIS OPINION HAS NOT BEEN RELEASED FOR PUBLICATION. UNTIL RELEASED, IT IS SUBJECT TO REVISION OR WITHDRAWAL. IN RE: STATE QUESTION NO. 805, INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 421. THEODIS MANNING and GENE RAINBOLT, Petitioners/Proponents, v. MICHAEL ROGERS, OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF STATE, in his Official Capacity, Respondent.

ORDER

¶1 Original jurisdiction is assumed. *Fent v. Contingency Review Bd.*, 2007 OK 27, ¶11, 163 P.3d 512 (the Court may assume jurisdiction in a publici juris controversy where there is an urgency and need for a judicial determination). The extraordinary relief sought by Proponents of Initiative Petition 421, State Question 805 is granted. ¶2 Okla. Const. Art. 5, § 2 provides that the first power reserved by the people is the initiative. Respondent's integral role and non-discretionary duties with respect to the initiative process are plainly set forth in the Constitution. Under Okla. Const. Art. 5, § 3, initiative petitions "shall be filed with the Secretary of State." See also Okla. Const. Art. 5, § 4. When initiative petitions submitting a proposed measure to the people for their ratification or rejection are offered to the Secretary of State for filing, "it is his duty to file same." *Threadgill v. Cross*, 1910 OK 165, ¶5, 109 P. 558, distinguished on other grounds by *In re Initiative Petition No. 349*, State Question No. 642, 1992 OK 122, 838 P.2d 1 (noting there is no controversy about the nature of this duty and there can be none). ¶3 Pursuant to 34 O.S. Supp. 2015, § 8(G), proponents of a referendum or initiative petition may terminate the circulation period any time during said period by certifying to the Secretary of State (1) the petitions have been filed with the Secretary of State, (2) no petitions are in circulation, and (3) proponents will

not circulate any more petitions. Proponents have tendered for filing the signed petitions in the instant cause. Therefore, pursuant to the text of the statute, Respondent "shall begin the counting process." Id. ¶4 While the signature-gathering deadline was temporarily halted, or tolled, by Respondent on March 18, 2020, with the approval of the Governor under the latter's emergency powers pursuant to the statewide COVID-19 emergency, this does not alter Proponent's statutory rights under 34 O.S. Supp. 2015, § 8(G). The circulation period began, but it has not ended, and thus we are still within the circulation period. In re Initiative Petition No. 397, State Question No. 767, 2014 OK 23, ¶9, 326 P.3d 496 (goal of statutory construction is to ascertain legislative intent from a plain and ordinary reading of the statute). ¶5 The Court notes Respondent has expressed concerns that commencing the signature-counting process amid the COVID-19 Pandemic and current state of emergency will present practical difficulties. There is also the foremost desire and duty to protect the safety and welfare of all of those involved in the process. In this regard, beginning in mid-March, the Governor issued Executive Orders authorizing state agencies to make necessary emergency acquisitions to fulfill the purpose of the emergency declaration, and requiring the promulgation of emergency rules necessary to respond to the emergency. ¶6 Based on the materials provided, the Court finds Respondent has not established the signature-counting process cannot be performed in an efficient manner, while also taking the necessary safety precautions for those involved. The Court is not convinced Respondent would be unable to procure the tools to carry out the signature-counting process. To this end, the Court notes Proponents have offered to secure a suitable facility (where social distancing guidelines are more capable of being observed) at their own expense. The duties imposed upon the Secretary of State regarding the initiative and referendum is ministerial, and is mandatory. *Norris v. Cross*, 1909 OK 316, syll., 105 P. 1000. ¶7 Accordingly, a Writ of Mandamus is hereby issued directing the Respondent to accept for filing the signed petitions for Initiative Petition 421, State Question 805, within ten (10) calendar days of this Order, and to thereafter begin and complete the signature-counting process expeditiously. ¶8 DONE BY ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT IN CONFERENCE THIS 26TH DAY OF MAY, 2020.

/S/CHIEF JUSTICE

Gurich, C.J., Darby, V.C.J., Kauger, Edmondson, Combs, JJ., concur; Kane and Rowe, JJ., dissent; Winchester, J., not voting; Colbert, J., not participating. Citationizer© Summary of Documents Citing This Document Cite Name Level None Found. Citationizer: Table of Authority Cite Name Level Oklahoma Supreme Court Cases CiteNameLevel 1992 OK 122, 838 P.2d 1, 63 OBJ 2386, Initiative Petition No. 349, State Question No. 642, In reDiscussed 1909 OK 316, 105 P. 1000, 25 Okla. 287, NORRIS et al. v. CROSS Secretary of State.Discussed 1910 OK 165, 109 P. 558, 26 Okla. 403, THREADGILL v. CROSSDiscussed 2007 OK 27, 163 P.3d 512, FENT v. CONTINGENCY REVIEW BOARDDiscussed 2014 OK 23, 326 P.3d 496, IN RE: INITIATIVE PETITION NO. 397, STATE QUESTION NO. 767Discussed Title 34. Initiative and Referendum CiteNameLevel 34 O.S. 8, Filing Copy of Proposed Petition - Publication - Protest - Hearing and

Determination - Signature Gathering DeadlineDiscussed oscn EMAIL: webmaster@oscn.net
Oklahoma Judicial Center 2100 N Lincoln Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 courts Supreme
Court of Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Civil Appeals District Courts decisions
New Decisions Supreme Court of Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals Court of Civil Appeals
programs The Sovereignty Symposium Alternative Dispute Resolution Early Settlement
Mediation Children's Court Improvement Program (CIP) Judicial Nominating Commission
Certified Courtroom Interpreters Certified Shorthand Reporters Accessibility ADA Contact Us
Careers Accessibility ADA

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/oklahoma-supreme-court-of-oklahoma/2020/in-re-state-question-no-805-initiative-petition-no-421-9h8os2lc>