

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Michella C. Alfaro Brittany v. Westfield Mall

No. 2:24-cv-3394 TLN SCR, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (September 10,
2025)

OPINION

Michella C. Alfaro Brittany v. Westfield Mall

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MICHELLA C. ALFARO BRITTANY,

12 Plaintiff, No. 2:24-cv-3394 TLN SCR 13 v.

14 WESTFIELD MALL, ORDER

15 Defendant. 16

17 Plaintiff Michella C. Alfaro Brittany (“Plaintiff”) is proceeding pro se in this matter, 18 which
was referred to the magistrate judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 19 636(b)
(1). 20 On June 13, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were
21 served on Plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and 22
recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 4.) The deadline has 23
passed, and no objections have been filed. 24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are
correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s
conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir.
2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 27 magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the
district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). 28 Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the
findings and recommendations to be supported by 1 the record and by the proper analysis. 2
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed June
13, 2025, (ECF No. 4), are adopted in full; 4 and 5 2. This action is DISMISSED WITHOUT
PREJUDICE for failure to pay the filing fee. 6 DATED: September 9, 2025 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28