

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Ray Jackson v. BOKE, NA d/b/a Bank of Texas

No. 01-25-01090-CV, Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas (April 21, 2026)

SUMMARY

The First Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed Ray Jackson’s appeal for want of prosecution. The court concluded that Jackson had not established indigence, paid for, or arranged payment for the clerk’s record, and had failed to respond to a dismissal notice. Pending motions were dismissed as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Chief Justice Adams; Justice Guerra; Justice Guiney
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
DECIDED	April 21, 2026
DOCKET	01-25-01090-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal dismissed because the appellant failed to establish indigence, pay for or arrange payment for the clerk's record, and respond to the court's notice that the appeal was subject to dismissal.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Ray Jackson v. BOKF, NA d/b/a Bank of Texas

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- costs
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the appeal should be dismissed when the appellant failed to establish indigence or pay for or arrange payment for the clerk's record.

2. Whether the appeal should be dismissed when the appellant failed to respond to the court's notice that the appeal was subject to dismissal.

HOLDINGS

1. The appeal was dismissed for want of prosecution because Jackson did not establish indigence, pay for or arrange payment for the clerk's record, or respond to the court's notice that the appeal was subject to dismissal.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The record necessary for the appeal was not filed because Ray Jackson had not established indigence and had neither paid for nor arranged to pay for the clerk's record. Jackson also failed to respond to the appellate court's notice that the appeal was subject to dismissal.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Ray Jackson appealed from the County Civil Court at Law No. 2 of Harris County, Texas. The court of appeals determined that Jackson had not established indigence and had not paid for or arranged payment for the clerk's record, and that he failed to respond to the dismissal notice. The court dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution and dismissed pending motions as moot.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Opinion issued April 21, 2026 In The Court of Appeals For The First District of Texas NO. 01-25-01090-CV RAY JACKSON, Appellant V. BOKF, NA D/B/A BANK OF TEXAS, Appellee On Appeal from the County Civil Court at Law No. 2 Harris County, Texas Trial Court Case No. 1221766 MEMORANDUM OPINION Appellant Ray Jackson has not established indigence, and has neither paid for nor made arrangements to pay for the clerk's record.

See TEX. R. APP. P. 5, 20.1 (indigence), 37.3(b) (allowing dismissal of appeal if no clerk's record filed due to appellant's fault). Appellant also failed to respond to our notice that his appeal was subject to dismissal. See TEX. R. APP. P. 37.3(b) (allowing dismissal of appeal if no clerk's record filed due to appellant's fault), 42.3(b)–(c) (allowing involuntary dismissal of case).

We dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution. We dismiss any pending motions as moot. PER CURIAM Panel consists of Chief Justice Adams and Justices Guerra and Guiney. 2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-for-the-first-district-of-texas-court-of-appeals-for-the-first-district-of-texas/2026/ray-jackson-v-bokf-na-d-b-a-bank-of-texas-9r8uowo>