

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Kimberly Arnold, et al. v. Lion’s Club International Association, et al.

Arnold · No. 2:24-cv-3168-TLN-JDP · Decided June 18, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after considering plaintiffs’ objections. The court dismissed the action as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders and directed the Clerk to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	June 18, 2025
DOCKET	2:24-cv-3168-TLN-JDP
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after plaintiffs filed objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court presumed the magistrate judge’s findings of fact correct and reviewed the magistrate judge’s conclusions of law de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished
PARTIES	Kimberly Arnold, et al. v. Lion’s Club International Association, et al.

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- motions to dismiss

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations should be adopted after review of plaintiffs’ objections.

2. Whether the action should be dismissed as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations in full after considering plaintiffs’ objections.
2. The action was dismissed as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.” (1)

“This action is dismissed as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders;” (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. The case was dismissed because it was duplicative and because plaintiffs failed to comply with court orders.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 7, 2025, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending disposition of the action. Plaintiffs filed objections within the permitted period. The district court reviewed the objections, presumed the magistrate judge’s factual findings correct, reviewed legal conclusions de novo, adopted the findings and recommendations in full, dismissed the action as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders, and directed the Clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)

OPINION

(PS) Arnold v. Lion's Club Int'l Assoc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 KIMBERLY ARNOLD, et al., Case No. 2:24-cv-3168-TLN-JDP 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. ORDER

14 LION’S CLUB INTERNATIONAL

ASSOCIATION, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On May 7, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings

and recommendations herein which 18 were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and 19 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiffs filed objections, and they were 20 considered by the undersigned. 21 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 22 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 23 See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 24 magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). 25 Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by 26 the record and by the proper analysis. 27 28 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The proposed findings and recommendations filed May 7, 2025, are adopted in full; 3 2. This action is dismissed as duplicative and for failure to comply with court orders; and 4 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case. 5 ` IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Date: June 17, 2025 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28