

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

David Vaccaro v. Colibri Real Estate LLC, et al.

No. 2:25-cv-05414-SPG-MBK, United States District Court for the Central District of California (August 15, 2025)

SUMMARY

This document is a stipulated protective order entered in David Vaccaro v. Colibri Real Estate LLC, Case No. 2:25-cv-05414-SPG-MBK, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. It governs the designation, handling, disclosure, challenge, filing under seal, and final disposition of confidential and highly confidential discovery materials, and includes an acknowledgment form for persons receiving protected material.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Michael B. Kaufman
DECIDED	August 15, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-05414-SPG-MBK
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties stipulated to a protective order governing confidential discovery material, and the magistrate judge entered the stipulated order.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation
- commercial

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Discovery
- Commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the court should enter the parties' stipulated protective order governing confidential and highly confidential discovery material.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“After the final disposition of this Action, within 60 days of a written request by the Designating Party, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material.” (13)

“Any violation of this Order may be punished by any and all appropriate measures including, without limitation, contempt proceedings and/or monetary sanctions.” (13)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The litigation was expected to involve confidential, proprietary, commercial, financial, technical, customer-list, pricing, trade-secret, personally identifiable, and professional-licensing information. The parties sought an order limiting the use and disclosure of protected discovery material and establishing procedures for designating, challenging, handling, filing, and disposing of that material.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California after removal. The parties submitted a stipulated protective order on August 7, 2025, and the court ordered it on August 15, 2025.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2006)